| REGISTER OF INTERESTED AND AFFECT | |
|--|---|
| AUTHORITIES | CONTACT PERSON |
| STATE DEPARTMENTS | CONTACT PERSON |
| Western Cape Government: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning - | |
| Directorate Waste Management | Mr E Hanekom / Mr L McBain-Charles / Waleed Galvaan |
| Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency | Mr C Abrahams |
| Western Cape Government: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning - Development Management (Region 3) | Mr G Benjamin / Shireen Pullen |
| Western Cape Government: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning - Air Quality Regulatory Services | Mr Peter Harmse / Joy Leaner |
| Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) | Melanie Koen |
| DEA&DP: Pollution Management | Arabel McClelland |
| Western Cape Government: Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning - Air Quality Regulatory Services | Mr Peter Harmse / Joy Leaner |
| Western Cape Government Department of Health (Head of Department) | Mr. Mlungisi Booi |
| Heritage Western Cape | Ms W Dhansay |
| ORGANS OF STATE | |
| CapeNature | Mr C Fordham |
| Garden Route District Municipality: Air Quality Control | Dr Johann Schoeman |
| Mossel Bay Municipality: Municipal Manager | Adv T Giliomee |
| Mossel Bay Municipality: Director Infrastructure Services | Mr D Naidoo |
| Mossel Bay Municipality: Director Planning & Economic Development | Mr Carel Venter |
| Mossel Bay Municipality: Director Community Services | Ms Elize Nel |
| Mossel Bay Municipality: Communications | Nickey Le Roux |
| Ward Councillor - Ward 4 | Cir A Janse van Rensburg |
| OTHER ORGANISATIONS | |
| Ellen van Rensburg Public Library | Ms Malibongwe Luyenge |
| Midbrak Ratepayers | |
| Garden Route Environmental Forum | Lodewyk J. Coetzee |
| AFFECTED LANDOWNERS | Lodewyk J. Obel266 |
| FARM / ERF DETAILS | Land owner |
| Farm 142 Ptn 24 | MobiCast |
| Farm 142 Ptn 17 | Woodline Timber Industries |
| Farm 142 Ptn 20 | Rheebok Stene |
| Farm 142 Ptn 2 | Gedeelte 68 Rooipoort (Pty) LTD |
| Farm 142 Ptn 11 | Rheebok Stene |
| Farm 142 Ptn 1 | Arnold Neethling Botlierskop |
| Farm 330 Ptn 1 | J J HALLIDAY INV C C (Cobus Halliday) |
| REGISTERED INTERESTED AND AFFECT | |
| Nickey Le Roux | Mossel Bay Municipality: Communications Officer |
| Scott Thomson | Great Brak River Conservancy |
| Catrien Brough | Private |
| Sarah Kaleli | Private |
| Vivienne Holtzhausen | Private |
| Teresa van Niekerk | Private |
| ו כוכסמ עמוו ואופתכות | |
| Barry Jacobs | Chief Gourikwa Khoisan Stamhuis Council of Stakeholders Greenhaven Besigheidsforum |
| Nikki Visagie | Private |
| John Erasmus | Private |
| Hanlie Hattingh | GB Executive Committee Member |
| Ben Erasmus | Private |
| Val Thomson | Great Brak River Conservancy |
| Elsa Davids | Private |
| Cliffie Bayman | Ward Councillor |
| Anton Dellimijn | Ward Councillor |
| | |

| | Report. | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi ng | Response | | | | | |
| 1 | CapeNature, as custodian of biodiversity in the Western Cape, would like to thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed Pre- application Basic Assessment Report (PreDBAR) and wish to make the following comments. Please note that our comments only pertain to the biodiversity related impacts and not to the overall desirability of the application. The following information was extracted from the PreBAR and details the proposed preferred alternative scope of works, (Figure 1): <i>"Rooikat Recycling proposes to construct a Pilot Depolymerisation Processing Plant on Portion 21 of the Remainder of the Farm Rheeboksfontein No.142 in Mossel Bay. Rooikat Recycling are proposing to develop a robust, fit for purpose thermal depolymerisation technology consisting of depolymerization and separation sections. This technology will allow the treatment of domestic plastic and tyres at a large scale to produce a basket of fuels that can be successfully placed in the existing market. To demonstrate the</i> | 2 April, 2020. | Colin Fordham, Landscape Conservation Intelligence Manager – East | Cape Nature | CapeNature's comments on the biodiversity related impacts are noted. | | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|--|----------|---------|------------|----------|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | - |
| | | | | ng | |
| | to demonstrate and refine the technology. A | | | | |
| | test/pilot facility that can process 10 to 20 tons a | | | | |
| | day of either plastic or tyres, or a combination of | | | | |
| | both, is required. The data collected during the | | | | |
| | operation of the plant will be used to develop and | | | | |
| | optimize the technology. | | | | |
| | The plastic would not have to be separated into | | | | |
| | the different types of plastic and typically non- | | | | |
| | recyclable plastics could now be converted into | | | | |
| | fuel without adding strain on the environment. The | | | | |
| | process would be a closed loop system and the | | | | |
| | generated off gasses would be used internally for | | | | |
| | energy production. Two products would be | | | | |
| | produced, heavy fuel oil (HFO) and minimal | | | | |
| | amounts of carbon black (which is a substitute for | | | | |
| | coal and can be used as a pigment)." | | | | |
| | (See Figure 1 in original comment received) | | | | |
| | According to the National Biodiversity Assessment | | | | |
| | (2018) the vegetation unit which dominates the | | | | |
| | farm is the Critically Endangered Garden Route | | | | |
| | Granite Fynbos (Hardly Protected) (Figure 2-See | | | | |
| | original comment for figure). This unit is listed as a | | | | |
| | threatened ecosystems in terms of the National | | | | |

| | Report. | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|----------|------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | | | |
| | Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, | | | | | | | | |
| | 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEM: BA). The Garden | | | | | | | | |
| | Route Granite Fynbos contains 4 threatened plant | | | | | | | | |
| | species and 1% is formally conserved and 30% of | | | | | | | | |
| | its original extent remaining in a natural condition. | | | | | | | | |
| | The conservation target for this specific vegetation | | | | | | | | |
| | unit is listed as 23% of its original extent. It should | | | | | | | | |
| | be noted that for impact assessment purposes the | | | | | | | | |
| | vegetation should be considered as Critically | | | | | | | | |
| | Endangered Garden Route Granite Fynbos, but for | | | | | | | | |
| | NEMA listing notice purposes the 2011 vegetation | | | | | | | | |
| | units should be used. | | | | | | | | |
| | There are no known streams or rivers or | | | | | | | | |
| | Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs)3 | | | | | | | | |
| | located within the proposed development | | | | | | | | |
| | footprint (Figures 1 and 2). In addition to which | | | | | | | | |
| | the proposed development will not result in the | | | | | | | | |
| | destruction of an habitat classified in terms of the | | | | | | | | |
| | Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan (2017) | | | | | | | | |
| | (Figure 3-See original comment for figure). | | | | | | | | |
| | Following a review of the application and | | | | | | | | |
| | appendices, and given the above mentioned | | | | | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------|------|------------|---|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | | |
| | sensitivity of the site, CapeNature would like to | | | | | | | |
| | make the following comments/recommendations: | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1. CapeNature recommends that given the status | | | | Should it be required to remove any indigenous | | | |
| | of the vegetation on site, any indigenous | | | | vegetation, search and rescue will be conducted | | | |
| | vegetation that requires removal should be | | | | and specimens used for rehabilitation purposes. | | | |
| | rescued and used for rehabilitation purposes. | | | | | | | |
| | CapeNature would like to reiterate that all | | | | The relevant permits will be applied for prior to | | | |
| | endangered species or protected species listed in | | | | clearing of any endangered or protected species. | | | |
| | Schedules 3 and 4 respectively, in terms of the | | | | | | | |
| | Western Cape Nature Conservation Laws | | | | | | | |
| | Amendment Act, 2000 (Act No. 3 of 2000) may not | | | | | | | |
| | be picked or removed without the relevant permit, | | | | | | | |
| | which must be obtained from CapeNature. This is | | | | | | | |
| | also to ensure that rescued plant material is | | | | | | | |
| | accounted for and used in the rehabilitation or | | | | | | | |
| | relocation process. To obtain such permits please | | | | | | | |
| | contact the relevant Conservation Services | | | | | | | |
| | Officials at the George CapeNature Regional Office | | | | | | | |
| | or use the following website address | | | | | | | |
| | http://www.capenature.co.za/permits- | | | | | | | |
| | information/. | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2. CapeNature would like to also remind the | | | | The responsibilities, in terms of CARA, will be | | | |
| | landowner that in terms of the Conservation of | | | | communicated to the landowner. | | | |
| | Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of | | | | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|--|----------|---------|------------|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | 1983) (CARA), landowners must prevent the | | | _ | Cognisance of these requirements will be brought |
| | spread of alien invasive plants on the property. | | | | across when describing the No-Go alternative in |
| | The level of alien infestation is therefore not be | | | | the Post-Application Draft Basic Assessment |
| | seen as reducing the sensitivity of a site, nor is the | | | | Report. |
| | subsequent removal of alien vegetation from a | | | | |
| | property regarded as a mitigation measure due to | | | | |
| | this is being a legal requirement. Infestation by | | | | |
| | alien plants does not necessarily mean that an | | | | |
| | area is not important for biodiversity as some | | | | |
| | vegetation types are particularly prone to invasive | | | | |
| | alien infestation, but may recover when cleared of | | | | |
| | alien vegetation. The EAP needs to take | | | | |
| | cognisance of this fact in all statements regarding | | | | |
| | mitigation and determination of the No-Go | | | | |
| | Alternative impact. The landowner is legally | | | | |
| | required to remove all alien plants from the farms | | | | |
| | and therefore the No-Go Alternative has to take | | | | |
| | this into account. Feasibility of such removal | | | | |
| | operations are not considered either, as there are | | | | |
| | state assisted programmes in place to assist | | | | |
| | landowners who do not have the financial | | | | |
| | resources to remove alien plant species. | | | | |
| 4 | 3. In addition to CARA, in terms of the Alien and | | | | Noted. |
| | Invasive Species Regulations, NEM: BA, 2014, | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|--|----------|---------|------------|---|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | specific alien plant species (e.g. Acacia cyclops) are | | | _ | This information will be communicated to the |
| | either prohibited or listed as requiring a permit; | | | | landowner. |
| | aside from restricted activities concerning, inter | | | | |
| | alia, their spread, and should be removed; without | | | | |
| | the use of heavy machinery (as this could trigger | | | | |
| | activities listed i.t.o. the EIA Regulations of 2014). | | | | |
| | All alien trees such as Acacia cyclops present at | | | | |
| | the property should be removed as they are a | | | | |
| | propagule source for further spread of invasive | | | | |
| | alien plants. | | | | |
| 5 | 4. The Cape Floristic Region is largely a fire- | | | | The need for fire breaks will be discussed further in |
| | dependent system and natural fire regimes must | | | | the Post-Application Draft BAR. |
| | be maintained and managed in the landscape. The | | | | |
| | exclusion of fire from certain habitats will be | | | | |
| | considered unacceptable as this may ultimately | | | | |
| | cause the loss of species, as is the case in this | | | | |
| | instance. Where appropriate, the location of fire- | | | | |
| | breaks should be indicated and these fire-breaks | | | | |
| | may be considered part of the development | | | | |
| | footprint. Fire-breaks must be brush-cut and | | | | |
| | vegetation must not be completely removed. | | | | |
| | Brush-cutting under power lines must occur as | | | | |
| | infrequently as possible as brush-cutting will lead | | | | |
| | to loss of species diversity over time. A fire-risk | | | | |

| Nr | | Report. | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | | | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | | | | |
| | assessment will also help inform an appropriate | | | | | | | | | |
| | layout for developments adjacent to fire-prone | | | | | | | | | |
| | vegetation. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 5. An Operational Environmental Management | | | | The EMPr has been updated to include Operation | | | | | |
| | Programme (OEMPr) should be compiled and | | | | Management, specifically the protection of the | | | | | |
| | appended to the Draft BAR. The OEMPr should | | | | critically endangered vegetation adjacent to the | | | | | |
| | specifically look at what measures must be | | | | site. | | | | | |
| | implemented to ensure the protection the | | | | | | | | | |
| | critically endangered vegetation from potential | | | | | | | | | |
| | hazardous spills and contamination, especially in | | | | | | | | | |
| | emergency scenarios. This plan should consider | | | | | | | | | |
| | management of the remaining critically | | | | | | | | | |
| | endangered CBA vegetation on the farm to ensure | | | | | | | | | |
| | its integrity and protection from future | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | development. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6. CBA regions are areas delineated that are in a | | | | The responsibilities in terms of the LUA Handbook | | | | | |
| | natural condition and are required to meet | | | | will be communicated to the landowner. | | | | | |
| | biodiversity targets, for species, ecosystems or | | | | CanaNatura's asknowledgement of the FAD's | | | | | |
| | ecological processes and infrastructure (the various reasons). As stipulated in the Land Use | | | | CapeNature's acknowledgement of the EAP's efforts to limit the development outside of the CBA | | | | | |
| | Advice (LUA) Handbook (Pool-Stanvliet <i>et al.</i> 2017) | | | | is noted and appreciated. | | | | | |
| | although the Farm may have undergone a level of | | | | | | | | | |
| | disturbance, this cannot be used as motivation for | | | | | | | | | |
| | establishing of development within CBA or ESA | | | | | | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|----------|---------|------------|---|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | areas. It should be noted that it is the landowner's | | | | |
| | responsibility to ensure his property is suitably | | | | |
| | maintained at a level consistent with LUA | | | | |
| | guidelines. The loss of the CBA on the site will | | | | |
| | therefore compromise conservation targets and | | | | |
| | the loss of ESA would compromise the CBA. | | | | |
| | CapeNature appreciates the effort the EAP has | | | | |
| | gone through to limit the development outside | | | | |
| | the extent of CBA, especially the compilation of a | | | | |
| | No-Go area map delineating the extent of the No- | | | | |
| | Go area. | | | | |
| 8 | 7. If this development is deemed to be outside of | | | | According to the 2018 Mossel Bay SDF, the site |
| | the Mossel Bay Urban Edge or area, can the EAP | | | | falls within the Urban Edge. |
| | comment on the applicability of this development | | | | |
| | in terms of the Western Cape Rural Development | | | | |
| | Guidelines which were published earlier this year. | | | | |
| 9 | 8. Since this is a pilot plant, can the applicant not | | | | The location for the commercial plant will not be at |
| | provide enough details to estimate of the size and | | | | the same site as the pilot plant. The location is yet |
| | details of the commercial plant and introduce the | | | | to be confirmed. |
| | entire plant as part of a phased activity | | | | The planned capacity will be confirmed post pilot |
| | assessment, for a singular approval from the | | | | phase. Ideal locations that will be considered are |
| | DEA&DP and relevant authorities. In this manner, | | | | sites close to industrial sites and waste removal |
| | the EAP can assess the entire application, and if | | | | sites. RR is aware that this would entail a separate |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|--|------------------|---------|-------------------------------|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi ng | Response |
| 10 | the commercial plant's details are not completely accurate, an amendment to the Environmental Authorisation could even later be applied for. Reason behind this request from CapeNature, is that we would like to know that the rest of the CBA, on site will not be impacted by any further future proposed development on site? CapeNature can also not commit to not simply approving the commercial plant development, if it will in anyway impact the critically endangered vegetation which is also classified as CBA on the site. 9. In the conceptual illustrations of the facility there appears to be an open pond\pool system on the far eastern corner of the facility. Will this be an area open to the elements? If so, ideally this will need to be an enclosed structure and if that is not possible, can the applicant place a form of impermeable netting around it to prevent fauna such as birds and bats entering the separator? Lastly (if this is an open air separator) how does the applicant plant (<i>sic</i>) to mitigate for rainfall events? | | | | EIA in future. The pool indicated in the draft layout plan is part of the cooling water system. This will be open to atmosphere to facilitate the cooling of the water. Netting can be added to prevent fauna from entering the system. Rain fall is not anticipated to impact the system and supplement the water system. The water would not be contaminated by the process. Rain water is to be collected in the storm water system for the site. |

| | | | Report. | | |
|----|---|------------------|--|---|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi ng | Response |
| | To conclude, CapeNature reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on any additional information that may be received. | | | | Noted |
| 11 | This correspondence refers to the pre- application Basic Assessment Report dated and received by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning, Sub- directorate: Waste Management Licensing on 28 February 2020. This Sub-directorate: Waste Management Licensing has the following comments: The report needs to discuss the waste management aspects in more depth. | 04 April, 2020 | Lance McBain- Charles. Deputy Director: Waste Management Licensing | Western Cape Department of Waste Management. | The Post-Application Draft BAR will provide more in depth discussion of the waste management aspects. |
| 12 | 2.2. Kindly indicate the capacity of the waste storage and preparation areas in square metres and cubic metres. This Department needs to determine whether norms and standards will have to be adhered to. | | | | These areas are indicated on the draft plant layout drawing. The anticipated measurements are as follows: Steel wire storage/processing (if required) 5mx9m = 45m ² Raw material storage/processing = 4.8mx20m =96m ² It is envisaged that the raw materials (general |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|----------|---------|------------|---|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | | | | | waste and tyres) will be prepared, shredded and stored into 1m ³ tuff bags at the recycle facility of the waste collector. If we store onsite, 1 days of storage equates to 10- 20 tons of raw material in 1m ³ tuff bags to be stored. The associated volume is estimated to be 50 m³. |
| | | | | | Kindly note that during the detail design these areas might change and that RR is willing to collaborate with the relevant authorities to ensure a sensible approach. |
| 13 | 2.3. The report does not provide enough information about how 10 to 20 tons of waste will be obtained daily. This Sub-directorate encourages the potential applicant to contact the Municipal Waste Manager about the availability of 10 to 20 tons of feedstock for this plant. | | | | The applicant has a letter of intent from Southern Cape Waste & Recycling for the provision of approximately 200 tons of material per month. Additional sources of material, such as the Municipal Waste Manager, will be investigated. |
| 14 | 2.4. Please be advised that the Eden District Municipality has changed its name to the Garden Route District Municipality and that the Integrated Waste Management Plans within this district are being or have already been updated. More recent | | | | The latest Integrated Waste Management Plan for Mossel Bay (October 2019) has been consulted in the Post-Application Draft BAR. |

| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
|----|---|----------|------|------------|--|
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | waste characterisation and other information may | | | | |
| | be available already. | | | | |
| 15 | 2.5. Based on the definition of treatment in the | | | | Noted |
| | National Environmental Management: Waste Act, | | | | |
| | 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008), treatment is an activity | | | | |
| | more intended towards reducing the impact of | | | | |
| | waste on the environment (e.g. treat type 0 waste | | | | |
| | to type 1 waste). The definition of recovery is | | | | |
| | more applicable in this instance. | | | | |
| 16 | 2.6. The following waste listed activities in the | | | | Noted – the listed activities have been updated in |
| | "List of Waste Management Activities that have, | | | | the Post-Application Draft BAR. |
| | or are likely to have, a detrimental effect on the | | | | |
| | environment, 2013", as published in Government | | | | |
| | Notice No. 921 on 29 November 2013, may be | | | | |
| | applicable to this proposed development, based | | | | |
| | on the information received: | | | | |
| | Category A (Basic Assessment): | | | | |
| | 3(3) The recycling of general waste at a facility | | | | |
| | that has an operational area in excess of 500m ² , | | | | |
| | excluding recycling that takes place as an integral | | | | |
| | part of an internal manufacturing process within | | | | |
| | the same premises. [Processing of that separated | | | | |
| | waste as a product or a raw material] | | | | |
| | 3(5) The recovery of waste including the refining, | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|------------------|---------|------------|----------|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | utilisation, or co-processing of waste in excess of | | | | |
| | 10 tons but less than 100 tons of general waste | | | | |
| | per day or in excess of 500kg but less than 1 ton of | | | | |
| | hazardous waste per day, excluding recovery that | | | | |
| | takes place as an integral part of an internal | | | | |
| | manufacturing process within the same premises. | | | | |
| | [Controlled extraction or retrieval of Heavy Fuel | | | | |
| | Oil and carbon black from waste plastics and | | | | |
| | tyres] | | | | |
| | 3(6) The treatment of general waste using any | | | | |
| | form of treatment at a facility that has the | | | | |
| | capacity to process in excess of 10 tons but less | | | | |
| | than 100 tons. [Change in chemical and physical | | | | |
| | properties] | | | | |
| | 3(12) The construction of a facility for a waste | | | | |
| | management activity listed in Category A of this | | | | |
| | Schedule (not in isolation to associated waste | | | | |
| | management activity). [Construction] | | | | |
| | Category C (Norms and Standards): | | | | |
| | 5(1) The storage of general waste at a facility that | | | | |
| | has the capacity to store in excess of 100m ³ of | | | | |
| | general waste at any one time, excluding the | | | | |
| | storage of waste in lagoons or temporary storage | | | | |
| | of such waste. [General waste storage] | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | 5(6) The sorting, shredding, grinding, crushing, | | | | | | |
| | screening or bailing of general waste at a waste | | | | | | |
| | facility has an operational area that is 1000m ² or | | | | | | |
| 17 | more. [Sorting and preparatory works] | | | | The state of the s | | |
| 17 | 2.7. The Department requires more information | | | | The pilot plant will remain in operation within the | | |
| | about the long-term planning for this facility, especially in the event that the pilot project | | | | specified design limits and lease agreement. | | |
| | becomes successful, as space may be limited at | | | | The commercial site will not be at the pilot plant | | |
| | the planned location. | | | | site. The location of the commercial site is yet to | | |
| | | | | | be confirmed and will be reliant on the pilot plant | | |
| | | | | | development and results. | | |
| 18 | 2.8. The Directorate: Air Quality must be | | | | The competent authority for Licencing of Listed | | |
| | requested to provide comments. | | | | Activities in terms of Section 21 of the National | | |
| | | | | | Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 | | |
| | | | | | (Act 39 of 2004), has provided comment. | | |
| 19 | 2.9. The management of waste under all | | | | The applicant's duty of care is noted. | | |
| | circumstance must be done in accordance with | | | | | | |
| | section 16, the "general duty in respect of waste | | | | | | |
| | management", of the National Environmental | | | | | | |
| | Management: Waste Act (NEM:WA), 2008 (Act No. | | | | | | |
| | 59 of 2008), which states in section 16(1)(d) of NEM:WA: | | | | | | |
| | "A holder of waste must, within the holder's | | | | | | |
| | power, take all reasonable measures to manage | | | | | | |

| | Report. | | | | | | | |
|----|--|------------------|----------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi | Response | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | | |
| | waste in such a manner that it does not endanger health or the environment or cause a nuisance | | | | | | | |
| 20 | through noise, odour or visual impacts." 3. The Department reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information based on the information received. | | | | Noted | | | |
| 21 | PRE-APPLICATION BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT ("BAR") FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO 142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE 1. The abovementioned document received by the Directorate: Development Management Development Management (Region 3), hereinafter referred to as "this Directorate" on 28 February 2020 refers. 2. This letter serves as acknowledgement of receipt of the abovementioned document by this Directorate. 3. This Directorate would like to submit the following comments: 3.1 This Directorate only received a cd and no hard copy. Please note that the requirement is to | 11 June, 2020 | Shireen Pullen | Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning Development Management (Region 3) | Note that, according to our records, a hard copy and a cd were delivered to your offices. As per GNR 650, Directions Regarding Measures to Address, Prevent and Combat the Spread of COVID-19 Relating to the National Environmental Management Permits and Licenses, 05th June 2020, Annexure 2, all future reports for comment will be submitted electronically. | | | |

| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
|----|---|----------|------|------------|---|
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | submit at least one hard copy for registration | | | | |
| | records, as well as for auditing purposes. In future, | | | | |
| | please ensure that you comply with this | | | | |
| | requirement. | | | | |
| 22 | 3.2 After this Department's response to the Notice | | | | Noted |
| | of Intent, it was confirmed internally that the | | | | |
| | proposal does not trigger any activities listed in | | | | |
| | terms of the National Environmental Management | | | | |
| | Act, 1998: Environmental Impact Assessment | | | | |
| | regulations, 2014 (as amended on 7 April 2017) | | | | |
| | ("NEMA EIA Regulations"), since the proposed | | | | |
| | activity requires a Waste Management Licence, | | | | |
| | which subsequently excludes the applicability of | | | | |
| | Activity 6 of Listing Notice 2. As such, this | | | | |
| | Directorate will only provide comment on the | | | | |
| | proposal. | | | | |
| 23 | 3.3 It is understood that the proposal entails the | | | | The Department's summary of the proposal is |
| | construction of a Pilot Depolymerisation | | | | noted. |
| | Processing Plant that can process 10 - 20 tons of | | | | |
| | plastic and/ or tyres per day or both, if required on | | | | |
| | portion 21 of Farm Rheeboksfontein, Mossel Bay. | | | | |
| | According to the pre-application BAR, plastic and | | | | |
| | typically non-recyclable plastics will be converted | | | | |
| | into fuel without adding strain on the | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | | |
|----|---|----------|---------|-------------------------|---|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / Representi | Response | |
| | | Received | | | | |
| | | | | ng | | |
| | environment. The process would be a closed loop | | | | | |
| | system and the generated off gasses would be | | | | | |
| | used internally for energy production. Two | | | | | |
| | products would be produced, heavy fuel oil (HFO) | | | | | |
| | and minimal amounts of carbon black (which is a | | | | | |
| | substitute for coal and can be used as a pigment). | | | | | |
| 24 | 3.4 Page 13 states that no Air Quality Permit is | | | | Clarity on the applicable air quality legislation has | |
| | required, while page 16 states that it still might be | | | | been provided by the competent authority. | |
| | required. You are advised to obtain clarity in this | | | | | |
| | regard to ensure that the application is subjected | | | | | |
| | to all relevant legislative requirements. | | | | | |
| 25 | 3.5 Page 13 of the pre-app BAR further states that | | | | Noted | |
| | the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended on 7 | | | | | |
| | April 2017) is applicable to the proposal. As | | | | | |
| | previously stated above, the fact that the | | | | | |
| | application will also be subjected to a Waste | | | | | |
| | Management Licence, Activity 6 of Listing Notice 2 | | | | | |
| | is no longer applicable to the development | | | | | |
| | proposal. | | | | | |
| 26 | 3.6 Please note, should an Air Quality Permit be | | | | The One Environmental System will be taken into | |
| | required, it is advised that the Air Quality and the | | | | consideration for the applications. | |
| | Waste Management processes must be | | | | | |
| | streamlined in accordance with the One | | | | | |
| | Environmental System to inform the respective | | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|------------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi | Response |
| | | | | ng | |
| | decision-making processes. It is therefore the duty | | | | |
| | of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner | | | | |
| | ("EAP") to take note of the timeframes and | | | | |
| | synchronise the processes accordingly. Failure to | | | | |
| | give effect to the one environmental system may | | | | |
| | potentially prejudice the success of the | | | | |
| | application. | | | | |
| 27 | 4. Further be reminded that paragraph 4.3. of the | | | | The extension of the public participation has been |
| | new Directions issued by the national Department | | | | noted and was implemented. |
| | of Environmental Affairs, Forestry and Fisheries | | | | |
| | determines that an additional 21 days must be | | | | |
| | added to the remaining 30-days of the public | | | | |
| | participation process. | | | | |
| 28 | 5. Protocols | | | | The promulgated Protocols are noted. |
| | On 20 March 2020 the National Minister of | | | | |
| | Environment, Forestry and Fisheries gazetted the | | | | Any specialists performing work for the proposed |
| | procedures for the assessment and minimum | | | | project will be instructed to comply with the |
| | criteria for reporting on identified environmental | | | | procedures included in the protocols. |
| | themes in terms of Section 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 | | | | |
| | of the NEMA, when applying for environmental | | | | |
| | authorisation (including Waste Management | | | | |
| | Licences). The procedures came into effect 9 May | | | | |
| | 2020. This Directorate therefore recommends that | | | | |
| | these protocols be formally integrated into the | | | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|--|----------|---------|------------|----------|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | project proposal from this point forward. | | | | |
| | In light of the above, this Directorate advises that | | | | |
| | any specialist performing work related to any of | | | | |
| | the fields of practice listed in Schedule I of the | | | | |
| | Natural Scientific Professions Act, 2003 (Act 27 of | | | | |
| | 2003) must be registered with the South African | | | | |
| | Council for Natural Scientific Professions | | | | |
| | ("SACNASP") in any of the prescribed categories | | | | |
| | [Section 18] and further to this, only a person | | | | |
| | registered with the SACNASP may practice (<i>sic</i>) in | | | | |
| | a consulting capacity [Section 20]. It will therefore | | | | |
| | be prudent of such specialists performing | | | | |
| | specialist studies or preparing specialist reports to | | | | |
| | provide proof of compliance with this Act. | | | | |
| 29 | 6. Please note that it is an offence in terms of | | | | Noted |
| | Section 49A(1)(a) of the NEMA for a person to | | | | |
| | commence with a listed activity unless the | | | | |
| | Competent Authority has granted an | | | | |
| | Environmental Authorisation for undertaking it. | | | | |
| | Failure to comply with the requirements of Section | | | | |
| | 24F of the NEMA shall result in the matter being | | | | |
| | referred to the Environmental Compliance and | | | | |
| | Enforcement Directorate of this Department. A | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|------------------|--|---|----------|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi ng | Response | | |
| 30 | person convicted of an offence in terms of the above is liable to a fine not exceeding R10 million or to imprisonment for a period not exceeding 10 years, or to both such fine and imprisonment. 7. Kindly quote the abovementioned reference | | | | Noted | | |
| 50 | number in any future correspondence in respect of this pre-application BAR. | | | | Noted | | |
| 31 | 8. This Department reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further information from you based on any new or revised information received. | | | | Noted | | |
| 32 | The Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) is responsible for the implementation and the enforcement of the National Forest Act (NFA), Act 84 of 1998 as amended and the National Veld and Forest Act, Act 101 of 1998 as amended (NVFFA). Thank you for giving DAFF this opportunity to comment on above application. DAFF studied the supporting documents for the above mentioned application and the following points related to DAFF's mandate i.e. the implementation of the NFA are applicable a. Section 15 of the National Forest Act (NFA) (Act | 15 June,2020 | Melanie Keon: Area Manager Forestry: Western Cape. | Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. | Noted | | |

| Comments Received during the (30-Days) Public Participation on the Pre-application Basic Assessment Report. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi | Response | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | No.84 of 1998) as amended prohibits the cutting, | | | | | | |
| | disturbing, damaging or destroying of protected | | | | | | |
| | tree species without a licence. Section 7 of the | | | | | | |
| | National Forest Act (NFA), act no 84 of 1998 as | | | | | | |
| | amended provides for the prohibition of the | | | | | | |
| | destruction of indigenous tress in any natural | | | | | | |
| | forest without a license. | | | | | | |
| 33 | b. Request that a Plant Species Assessment of the | | | | The proposed site of the project is in a completely | | |
| | above property be conducted by a Specialist and | | | | transformed state, with no natural vegetation of | | |
| | that this Specialist report be forwarded to the | | | | significance remaining. In addition, comment from | | |
| | Department for perusal for species verification. | | | | CapeNature has been received and they have not | | |
| | | | | | identified the need for a botanical specialist. As such, no additional study will be conducted. | | |
| 34 | Due to the Nation-Wide COVID-19 Lockdown, | | | | Noted. | | |
| | officials are working remotely for the duration of | | | | | | |
| | the lockdown period; and thus site inspections are | | | | | | |
| | not conducted at this stage. Site inspection might | | | | | | |
| | be required at a later stage, in due course, once | | | | | | |
| | safe to do so. | | | | | | |
| 35 | 4 DAFF reserves the right to revise initial comment | | | | Noted | | |
| | based on any additional information that may be | | | | | | |
| | received or obtained. | | | | | | |
| 36 | Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the | 22 June, 2020 | Dr JP | Office of the | The Department's confirmation as the competent | | |
| | Pre-application Draft Basic Assessment Report | | | | authority is noted. | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi | Response | | | |
| | (Draft Pre- BAR) for the proposed Rooikat PTY (Ltd) recycling project on the Farm Rheeboksfontein Mossel Bay. It is also confirmed that the Garden Route District municipality (GRDM) is the competent authority for Licencing of Listed Activities in terms of Section 21 of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act 39 of 2004) as verified by your office with DEADP Directorate Air Quality Management. The proposed activity triggers activity 34 of NEMA Listing Notice one (R327) as well as Category 8.1 and possibly category 3.1 (carbon black production) of NEM: AQA (GN 893). The two application processes therefore runs parallel with each other with only one public participation process. Our comments will focus specifically on Air Quality matters, as this is our competency in terms of the NEM: AQA, the National Framework for Air Quality in South Africa, 2017 and the GRDM 3rd Generation Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), 2019. My colleagues from Municipal Health will also comment in terms of their Municipal Health competency. | | Schoeman | ng Municipal Manager- Garden Route District Municipality | Confirmation of the applicable listed activities is noted and has been updated in the Post- Application Draft BAR. | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | When considering an application for an | | | | | | |
| | atmospheric emission licence (AEL), the licencing | | | | | | |
| | authority (LA) must take into account all relevant | | | | | | |
| | matters, including nine specific factors as outlined | | | | | | |
| | in Section 39 of NEM: AQA. For the purpose of this | | | | | | |
| | letter, our comments are structured, and the | | | | | | |
| | specialist reports be evaluated, against the nine | | | | | | |
| | Section 39 factors in order to verify compliance | | | | | | |
| | with the requirements of NEM: AQA. | | | | | | |
| | It must be emphasised that this (sic) comments in | | | | | | |
| | terms of the sec 39 requirement is used for | | | | | | |
| | guidance for commenting during the EIA process | | | | | | |
| | and the licencing authority must still decide the | | | | | | |
| | application within 60 days of the date on which | | | | | | |
| | the decision on the application for the | | | | | | |
| | environmental authorisation has been made. | | | | | | |
| | Table 1: Table indicating factors to seek | | | | | | |
| | compliance with requirements of the Air Quality Act (<i>contents included below</i>) | | | | | | |
| 37 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | A Specialist Air Quality Impact Assessment Study | | |
| | (a) any applicable minimum emission standards | | | | by a Competent Air Quality Impact Assessment Study | | |
| | set for ambient air and point source emissions | | | | Quality Specialists) has been conducted as per the | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|----------|---------|------------|---|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | that have been determined in terms of the | | | | agreed upon scope of study. |
| | NEM: AQA. | | | | |
| | The applicant must conduct a Specialist Air Quality | | | | |
| | Impact Assessment Study by a Competent Air | | | | |
| | Quality Specialist in order to guide the Licencing | | | | |
| | Authority with its decision to grant or refuse the | | | | |
| | application. The minimum emission limits of | | | | |
| | category 8.1 and 3.4 as contemplated in Section | | | | |
| | 21 of the Air Quality Act will apply to the facility. | | | | |
| | It is a requirement for category 8.1 to do | | | | |
| | continuous emissions monitoring by a Continuous | | | | |
| | Emissions monitoring System (CEMS). Please refer | | | | |
| | to Regulation 893 of 2013 (as amended). | | | | |
| | Prior undertaking any specialist air quality studies, | | | | |
| | the applicant and specialist must liaise with the | | | | |
| | Licencing Authority on the scope of the study. The | | | | |
| | Specialist Air Quality study must also prescribe the | | | | |
| | Best Available Technology to achieve compliance | | | | |
| | with the emission limits and ambient air quality | | | | |
| | standards. | | | | |
| 38 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | A Specialist Air Quality Impact Assessment Stud |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | (b) the pollution being or likely to be caused by the | | | | by a Competent Air Quality Specialist (Lethabo Air | | |
| | carrying out of the listed activity applied for and | | | | Quality Specialists) has been conducted as per the | | |
| | the effect or likely effect of that pollution on the | | | | agreed upon scope of study. | | |
| | environment, including health, social conditions, | | | | | | |
| | economic conditions, cultural heritage and | | | | | | |
| | ambient air quality. | | | | | | |
| | A Specialist Air Quality Impact Assessment must | | | | | | |
| | be undertaken to guide this factor. | | | | | | |
| | A comprehensive emission inventory including | | | | | | |
| | area/line sources must be provided and how such | | | | | | |
| | emissions it will be mitigated. Measures must be | | | | | | |
| | taken to minimize dust and noise during the | | | | | | |
| | construction phase and it is advised that | | | | | | |
| | construction only take place during normal | | | | | | |
| | working hours from 07:30 to 17:30 on weekdays | | | | | | |
| | only. The applicant must comply with the National | | | | | | |
| | Dust Control Regulations for Industrial zones as | | | | | | |
| | indicated in the Regulation. | | | | | | |
| | Social conditions: | | | | | | |
| | The applicant must conduct a socio-impact report | | | | | | |
| | to guide this factor. | | | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | Economic conditions: | | | | | | |
| | The applicant must conduct an economic -impact report to guide this factor. | | | | | | |
| | report to guide this factor. | | | | | | |
| | Health: | | | | | | |
| | The applicant must conduct a health impact report | | | | | | |
| | to guide this factor. | | | | | | |
| | Cultural heritage: | | | | | | |
| | The applicant must conduct a cultural heritage | | | | | | |
| | assessment to guide this factor. | | | | | | |
| | Ambient Air Quality: | | | | | | |
| | Please refer to (a) above. | | | | | | |
| | Planning: | | | | | | |
| | The proposed development must be consistent | | | | | | |
| | with the MBSDF (2019), the Mossel Bay IDP, the | | | | | | |
| | Mossel Bay Central Precinct Plan (2013), Mossel | | | | | | |
| | Bay Municipality's Integrated Zoning Scheme | | | | | | |
| | (2017). | | | | | | |
| 39 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | A Specialist Air Quality Impact Assessment Study | | |
| | (c) the best practical environmental options | | | | by a Competent Air Quality Specialist (Lethabo A | | |

| | | F | Report. | | |
|----|---|----------|---------|------------|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response |
| | | Received | | Representi | |
| | | | | ng | |
| | available that could be taken to- | | | | Quality Specialists) has been conducted as per the |
| | (i) prevent, control, abate or mitigate that | | | | agreed upon scope of study. |
| | pollution; and | | | | |
| | (ii) to protect the environment, including health, | | | | |
| | social conditions, economic conditions, cultural | | | | |
| | heritage and ambient air quality, from harm as a | | | | |
| | result of that pollution. | | | | |
| | The specialist report must indicate what Best | | | | |
| | Available Technology (BAT) is required in order to | | | | |
| | achieve compliance with all applicable air quality | | | | |
| | ambient standards and minimum emissions limits. | | | | |
| 40 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | Noted |
| | (d) section 24 of NEMA and any other applicable | | | | |
| | environmental impact assessment done, the | | | | |
| | decision taken on the application of the | | | | |
| | environmental authorisation, and any applicable | | | | |
| | notice issued or regulation made pursuant for that | | | | |
| | section substituted by Section 7 of the Air Quality | | | | |
| | Amendment Act, 2014 (Act 20 of 2014) | | | | |
| | The decision to grant or refuse the Atmospheric | | | | |
| | Emission Licence may only be taken after the | | | | |
| | Environmental Authorisation is granted. | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------|------|------------|---|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| 41 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | Noted | | |
| | (e) any relevant tradable emission scheme | | | | | | |
| | N.A. No such tradable emission scheme applicable | | | | | | |
| | in South Africa yet. | | | | | | |
| 42 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | SES has tested the applicant against the criteria for | | |
| | (f) whether the applicant is a fit and proper person | | | | a fit and proper person and confirmation has been | | |
| | as contemplated in Section 49 of the NEM: AQA | | | | provided in the Post-Application Draft BAR. | | |
| | The test for a fit and proper person in terms of | | | | | | |
| | Section 49 of NEM:AQA is: | | | | | | |
| | (a) That person has contravened or failed to | | | | | | |
| | comply with this Act, the APPA or any other | | | | | | |
| | legislation applicable to air quality; | | | | | | |
| | (b) that person has held a provisional or full AEL or | | | | | | |
| | other authority that has been suspended or | | | | | | |
| | revoked; | | | | | | |
| | (c) that person has been a director or senior | | | | | | |
| | manager who is or was a director or manager of a | | | | | | |
| | company, a juristic person or firm to whom | | | | | | |
| | paragraph (a) or (b) applies; or | | | | | | |
| | (d) the management of the listed activity which is | | | | | | |
| | the subject of the application will or will not be in | | | | | | |
| | the hands of a technically competent person. | | | | | | |

| Report. | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------|------|------------|--|--|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date | I&AP | Company / | Response | | |
| | | Received | | Representi | | | |
| | | | | ng | | | |
| | SES must test the applicant against the criteria for | | | | | | |
| | a fit and proper person and confirm in writing that | | | | | | |
| | the applicant and technically competent person, | | | | | | |
| | managing the listed activity complies with all the | | | | | | |
| | criteria. | | | | | | |
| 43 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | All comments submitted during the public | | |
| | (g) the applicant's submissions | | | | participation processes will be included and responded to in a Comments & Responses Table. | | |
| | All required documents submitted during the | | | | | | |
| | application process including responses on | | | | | | |
| | comments will be assessed once it becomes | | | | | | |
| | available. | | | | | | |
| 44 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | | | |
| | (h)any submissions from organs of state, | | | | | | |
| | interested persons and the public. | | | | | | |
| | Submissions from all organs of state and | | | | | | |
| | interested and affected parties (I&AP's) will be | | | | | | |
| | assessed once the public participation process is | | | | | | |
| | completed and a stakeholder engagement report | | | | | | |
| | is available. | | | | | | |
| 45 | SECTION 39 FACTORS | | | | Noted | | |
| | (i) any guidelines issued by the Minister or MEC | | | | | | |
| | relating to the performance of the licencing | | | | | | |

| Comments Received during the (30-Days) Public Participation on the Pre-application Basic Assessment Report. | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Nr | Comment Received | Date Received | I&AP | Company / Representi ng | Response | |
| | authorities of their functions. Not applicable. | | | | | |
| 46 | General aspects: A formal atmospheric emission licence application must by lodge by the applicant accompanied by the prescribed processing fee in order for the Licencing Authority to initialise the application process. It is advised that a hard copy form of the application be submitted prior the submission of the application on the South African Atmospheric Emission Licencing and Inventory Portal (SAAELIP). | | | | Noted, however, due to the Covid-19 restrictions, the need for a hard copy application will be confirmed at the time of submission. | |
| 47 | Furthermore should the LA require any other relevant documentation and/ or information during the AEL application process such documentation or information will be requested in term of section 37 of the NEM: AQA (ACT 39 of 2004). | | | | Noted. | |

SmartSt WORLD LEADERS IN



GEORGE TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FMAIL: info@sexc.net WEBS/TEL: www.sexc.net ADDRESS: 102 Maximum Street, George 6330 PO BOX: 1007, George 6330 C

CAPE TOWN TILL +27 (0) 21 554 5105 FAX; +27 (0) 46 575 2469 EMAIL: betryderexcinet WEBSTE www.inscc.net ADDRESS: Tablevices, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 441, Milnerton, 7415

NOTICE OF PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (DBAR) & WASTE MANAGEMENT LICENCE (WML)

INVITATION TO REGISTER AS AN INTERESTED & AFFECTED PARTY (I&AP) AND PROVIDE COMMENT

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

LOCATION: The proposed development is located on Portion 21 of the Remainder of the Farm Rheeboksfontein No.142 in Mossel Bay, Western Cape. The site is located north-west of the existing Groot Brak town, on the existing Mobicast property.

DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION: Rooikat Recycling are proposing to develop a Pilot Depolymerisation Processing Plant. This plant would treatment domestic plastic and tyres at a large scale to produce a basket of fuels that can be placed in the existing market.

LISTED WASTE ACTIVITIES: The proposed activity will trigger the following listed waste management activities Category A (GNR No. R. 921): Activities 6 & 12.

A person who wishes to commence, undertake or conduct a waste management activity listed under Category A of GN No. R 921 of 2013, must conduct a basic assessment process as set out in the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations made under section 24(5) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) as part of a waste management license application. The proposed development will not trigger any additional listed activities in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 as amended 07 April 2017 (GN No. R 324-327).

OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE: Potential Interested & Affected Parties (I&APs) are invited to register & provide comment on this proposal. I&APs must provide their comments together with their name, contact details (preferred method of notification, e.g. e-mail or fax number) & indicate any direct business, financial, personal or other interest they have in the application to the contact person indicated below, within 60-days from 28 February 2020. Requests for registration &/or comment must reach us before or on **30 March 2020**.

I & AP REGISTRATION AND COMMENT PERIOD: 28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020

FOR MORE INFORMATION: This report can be downloaded from SES's website: www.sescc.net, and is available at the Ellen van Rensburg Public Library (Long Street, Great Brak, George), as well as through contacting the consultant below.

Betsy Ditcham / Tel: 021 554 5195 / Fax: 086 575 2869 / Email: betsy@sescc.net / P.O Box 443, Milnerton, 7435.

Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning
 Environmental Control & Monitoring • Public Participation • Broad scale Environmental Planning





revers etainin



GEORGE TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: info@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PO BOX: 9087, George, 6530

CAPE TOWN

TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betsy@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

CapeNature 4th Floor, Rentzburg Hof, 42 Courtney Street, Bodorp, George, 6529 28 February 2020

BY HAND

Dear Mr Fordham

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. I have included one electronic copy. The report can also be downloaded from the SES website (www.sescc.net) under the "public documents" section. This Draft Report is available for review and comment, from **28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020**.

You are hereby invited to submit any comments you may have on the Report in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely,

Betsy Ditcham Cape Town Office Manager; Principle Environmental Assessment Practitioner Tel: 082 456 6918 | Fax: 044 874 5953 | email: betsy@sescc.net | Post: P.O. Box 9087, George, 6530

WESTERN CAPE NATURE CONSERVATION DOARD REGION EAST

2 8 FEB 2020

PRIVATE BAG X6546 GEORGE 5530 WES-KAAPSE NATUUREEMARINGSRAAD



Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning
 Environmental Control & Monitoring • Public Participation • Broad scale Environmental Planning



GEORGE YEL:+27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX:+27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: info@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PO BOX: 9087, George , 6530

CAPE TOWN TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betsy@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

Garden Route District Municipality District Air Quality Control c/o Marlin & Sampson Street, Ext 23, Mossel Bay, 6500 28 February 2020

BY HAND

Dear Dr Johann Schoeman

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. I have included one electronic copy. The report can also be downloaded from the SES website (www.sescc.net) under the "public documents" section. This Draft Report is available for review and comment, from **28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020**.

You are hereby invited to submit any comments you may have on the Report in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely,

Betsy Ditcham Cape Town Office Manager; Principle Environmental Assessment Practitioner Tel: 062 456 6918 | Fax: 044 874 5953 | email: betsy 4sescc.net | Post: P.O. Box 9087, George, 6530



Environmental Impact Assessments
 Basic Assessments
 Environmental Management Planning
 Environmental Control & Monitoring
 Public Participation
 Broad scale Environmental Planning

(15)



GEORGE TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: info@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PO BOX: 9087, George , 6530

CAPE TOWN

TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betsy@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

Ellen van Rensburg Public Library Long Street Groot Brak 6525 28 February 2020

BY HAND

To Whom it May Concern,

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

Rooikat Recycling proposes to construct a Pilot Depolymerisation Processing Plant on Portion 21 of the Remainder of the Farm Rheeboksfontein No.142 in Mossel Bay. Rooikat Recycling are proposing to develop a robust, fit for purpose thermal depolymerisation technology consisting of depolymerization and separation sections. This technology will allow the treatment of domestic plastic and tyres at a large scale to produce a basket of fuels that can be successfully placed in the existing market.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Draft Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. This Draft Report is now available for review and comment, from 28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020.

Please display the document in an area where the public will have access to it. The document should, however, not leave the library during the commenting period.

Any comments may be in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely,

Ellen van Rensburg Biblioteek / Library Langstraat, Groot Brak Rivier

Betsy Ditcham

Cape Town Office Manager: Principle Environmental Assessment Practitioner Tel: 021 554 51954 | Fax: 086 575 2869 | email: <u>betsy@sescc.net</u> | Post: P.O. Box 443, Milnerton, 7435



• Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning

Environmental Control & Monitoring
 Public Participation
 Broad scale Environmental Planning



GEORGE TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: Info@sexc.net WEBSITE: www.sexc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street. George 6530 PD B021: 9087, George , 6530

CAPE TOWN TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betyp:sec.net WEBSITE: www.secc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

28 February 2020

DEA&DP: Directorate: Air Quality Regulatory Services Utilitas Building 1 Dorp Street, Cape Town, 8001

BY HAND

Dear Mr Harmse

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. I have included one electronic copy. The report can also be downloaded from the SES website (www.sescc.net) under the "public documents" section. This Draft Report is available for review and comment, from **28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020**.

You are hereby invited to submit any comments you may have on the Report in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely,

Betsy Ditcham Cape Town Office Manager: Principle Environmental Assessment Practitioner Tel: 082 456 6918 | Fax: 044 874 5953 | email: betsy@sescc.net | Post: P.O. Box 9087, George, 6530



• Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning

• Environmental Control & Monitoring • Public Participation • Broad scale Environmental Planning





GEORGE

TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: Info@sescenet WEBSITE: www.sescenet ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PD BOX: 9087, George , 6530

CAPE TOWN

TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2859 EMAIL: betsymescenet WEBSITE: www.sescenet ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

28 February 2020

Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning Development Management: Waste Management Directorate **Registry Office** 1st Floor Utilitas Building 1 Dorp Street, Cape Town

BY HAND

Att: Eddie Hanekom

Dear Mr Hanekom

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

As the relevant competent authority, you are hereby notified that the abovementioned Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report is now available for review and comment, from 28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. I have included one hard copy and one electronic copy. The report can also be downloaded from the SES website (www.sescc.net) under the "public documents" section.

You are hereby invited to submit any comments you may have on the Pre-Application Report in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely.



Bersy Ditcham Cope Town Office Manager, Principle Environmental Assessment Proctific Marger Town Kapstad Tel: 082 456 6918 | Fax: 044 874 5953 | email: betsy-s sescc.net | Post: P.O. Dox 9000 Com Omgitudes and Ontwikkelingsboplaning



 Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning • Environmental Control & Monitoring • Public Participation • Broad scale Environmental Planning



GEORGE TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: Info@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PO BOX: 9087, George , 6530

CAPE TOWN TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betsymmetric.net WEBSITE: www.setcc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

DEA& DP REF: 16/3/3/6/7/1/D6/17/0193/19

28 February 2020

DEA&DP: Directorate: Pollution and Chemicals Management 2nd Floor, Property Centre, 1 Dorp Street, Cape Town, 8001

BY HAND

Dear Ms McClelland

NOTIFICATION: START OF THE 30-DAY COMMENTING PERIOD ON THE PRE-APPLICATION DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT DEPOLYMERISATION PROCESSING PLANT ON PORTION 21 OF THE REMAINDER OF THE FARM RHEEBOKSFONTEIN NO.142 IN MOSSEL BAY, WESTERN CAPE.

Enclosed herewith please find the Pre-Application Basic Assessment Report for the abovementioned proposal. I have included one electronic copy. The report can also be downloaded from the SES website (www.sescc.net) under the "public documents" section. This Draft Report is available for review and comment, from **28 February 2020 to 30 March 2020**.

You are hereby invited to submit any comments you may have on the Report in writing to Betsy Ditcham by email (preferred), post or fax, using the contact details provided below.

Don't hesitate to contact me if you require any further information, or have any questions relating to this project.

Yours sincerely,

Betsy Ditcham Cape Town Office Manager; Principle Environmental Assessment Practitioner Tel: 082 456 6918 | Fax: 044 874 5953 | email: betsy@sescc.net | Post: P.O. Box 9087, George, 6530





Environmental Impact Assessments • Basic Assessments • Environmental Management Planning

Environmental Control & Monitoring • Public Participation • Broad scale Environmental Planning