

TEL: +27 (0) 44 873 4923 FAX: +27 (0) 44 874 5953 EMAIL: info@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: 102 Merriman Street, George 6530 PO BOX: 9087, George , 6530

#### CAPE TOWN

TEL: +27 (0) 21 554 5195 FAX: +27 (0) 86 575 2869 EMAIL: betsy@sescc.net WEBSITE: www.sescc.net ADDRESS: Tableview, Cape Town, 7441 PO BOX: 443, Milnerton, 7435

# DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

## FOR THE

## THE PROPOSED DANA BAY EMERGENCY ACCESS ROAD ON REMAINER OF PORTION 7 OF THE FARM 225, MOSSEL BAY

## MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) and the amended (April 2017) Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014

PREPARED FOR:SMEC<br/>13 Progress Street<br/>George<br/>6529DEADP REF:16/3/3/1/D6/29/00010/22<br/>14/DB/MB/WC/05/22

DATE:

25 May 2022



Environmental Impact Assessments 
 Basic Assessments 
 Environmental Management Planning

Environmental Control & Monitoring • Water Use License Applications • Aquatic Assessments

#### GEORGE

FORM NO. BAR10/2019



## BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

# THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 107 OF 1998) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REGULATIONS.

## NOVEMBER 2019

| (For official use only)                           |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Pre-application Reference Number (if applicable): |  |  |
| EIA Application Reference Number:                 |  |  |
| NEAS Reference Number:                            |  |  |
| Exemption Reference Number (if applicable):       |  |  |
| Date BAR received by Department:                  |  |  |
| Date BAR received by Directorate:                 |  |  |
| Date BAR received by Case Officer:                |  |  |

## **GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

(This must Include an overview of the project including the Farm name/Portion/Erf number)

THE PROPOSED DANA BAY EMERGENCY ACCESS ROAD ON REMAINDER OF PORTION 7 OF THE FARM 225, DANA BAY, MOSSEL BAY MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE

# IMPORTANT INFORMATION TO BE READ PRIOR TO COMPLETING THIS BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

- 1. **The purpose** of this template is to provide a format for the Basic Assessment report as set out in Appendix 1 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) ("NEMA"), Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") Regulations, 2014 (as amended) in order to ultimately obtain Environmental Authorisation.
- 2. The Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") Regulations is defined in terms of Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act, 19998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) ("NEMA") hereinafter referred to as the "NEMA EIA Regulations".
- 3. The required information must be typed within the spaces provided in this Basic Assessment Report ("BAR"). The sizes of the spaces provided are not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided.
- 4. All applicable sections of this BAR must be completed.
- 5. Unless protected by law, all information contained in, and attached to this BAR, will become public information on receipt by the Competent Authority. If information is not submitted with this BAR due to such information being protected by law, the applicant and/or Environmental Assessment Practitioner ("EAP") must declare such non-disclosure and provide the reasons for believing that the information is protected.
- 6. This BAR is current as of **November 2019**. It is the responsibility of the Applicant/ EAP to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the BAR have been released by the Department. Visit this Department's website at <u>http://www.westerncape.gov.za/eadp</u> to check for the latest version of this BAR.
- 7. This BAR is the standard format, which must be used in all instances when preparing a BAR for Basic Assessment applications for an environmental authorisation in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations when the Western Cape Government Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning ("DEA&DP") is the Competent Authority.
- 8. Unless otherwise indicated by the Department, one hard copy and one electronic copy of this BAR must be submitted to the Department at the postal address given below or by delivery thereof to the Registry Office of the Department. Reasonable access to copies of this Report must be provided to the relevant Organs of State for consultation purposes, which may, if so indicated by the Department, include providing a printed copy to a specific Organ of State.
- 9. This BAR must be duly dated and originally signed by the Applicant, EAP (if applicable) and Specialist(s) and must be submitted to the Department at the details provided below.
- 10. The Department's latest Circulars pertaining to the "One Environmental Management System" and the EIA Regulations, any subsequent Circulars, and guidelines must be taken into account when completing this BAR.
- 11. Should a water use licence application be required in terms of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) ("NWA"), the "One Environmental System" is applicable, specifically in terms of the synchronisation of the consideration of the application in terms of the NEMA and the NWA. Refer to this Department's Circular EADP 0028/2014: One Environmental Management System.
- 12. Where Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) ("NHRA") is triggered, a copy of Heritage Western Cape's final comment must be attached to the BAR.
- 13. The Screening Tool developed by the National Department of Environmental Affairs must be used to generate a screening report. Please use the Screening Tool link <u>https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool</u> to generate the Screening Tool Report. The screening tool report must be attached to this BAR.

14. Where this Department is also identified as the Licencing Authority to decide on applications under the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (Act No. 29 of 2004) ('NEM:AQA''), the submission of the Report must also be made as follows, for-

Waste Management Licence Applications, this report must also (i.e., another hard copy and electronic copy) be submitted for the attention of the Department's Waste Management Directorate (Tel: 021-483-2728/2705 and Fax: 021-483-4425) at the same postal address as the Cape Town Office.

Atmospheric Emissions Licence Applications, this report must also be (i.e., another hard copy and electronic copy) submitted for the attention of the Licensing Authority or this Department's Air Quality Management Directorate (Tel: 021 483 2888 and Fax: 021 483 4368) at the same postal address as the Cape Town Office.

### DEPARTMENTAL DETAILS

| CAPE TOWN OFFICE: REGION 1 and REGION 2<br>(Region 1: City of Cape Town, West Coast District)<br>(Region 2: Cape Winelands District & Overberg District) | GEORGE OFFICE: REGION 3<br>(Central Karoo District & Garden Route District) |
|--|---|
| BAR must be sent to the following details:   | BAR must be sent to the following details:                                  |
| Western Cape Government  | Western Cape Government   |
| Department of Environmental Affairs and Development  | Department of Environmental Affairs and Development                         |
| Planning   | Planning  |
| Attention: Directorate: Development Management   | Attention: Directorate: Development Management                              |
| (Region 1 or 2)  | (Region 3)  |
| Private Bag X 9086   | Private Bag X 6509  |
| Cape Town,   | George,   |
| 8000   | 6530  |
| Registry Office  | Registry Office   |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor Utilitas Building  | 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor, York Park Building                                   |
| 1 Dorp Street,   | 93 York Street  |
| Cape Town  | George  |
| Queries should be directed to the Directorate:   | Queries should be directed to the Directorate:                              |
| Development Management (Region 1 and 2) at:  | Development Management (Region 3) at:                                       |
| Tel: (021) 483-5829  | Tel: (044) 805-8600   |
| Fax (021) 483-4372   | Fax (044) 805 8650  |

MAPS

| Provide a location | Provide a location map (see below) as Appendix A1 to this BAR that shows the location of the proposed development  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| and associated str | and associated structures and infrastructure on the property.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locality Map:      | <ul> <li>The scale of the locality map must be at least 1:50 000.</li> <li>For linear activities or development proposals of more than 25 kilometres, a smaller scale e.g., 1:250 000 can be used. The scale must be indicated on the map.</li> <li>The map must indicate the following: <ul> <li>an accurate indication of the project site position as well as the positions of the alternative sites, if any;</li> <li>road names or numbers of all the major roads as well as the roads that provide access to the site(s)</li> <li>a north arrow;</li> <li>a legend; and</li> <li>a linear scale</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | For ocean based or aquatic activity, the coordinates must be provided within which the activity<br>is to be undertaken and a map at an appropriate scale clearly indicating the area within which<br>the activity is to be undertaken.<br>Where comment from the Western Cape Government: Transport and Public Works is required,<br>a map illustrating the properties (owned by the Western Cape Government: Transport and<br>Public Works) that will be affected by the proposed development must be included in the<br>Report.  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Provide a detailed<br>alternative propert                         | site development plan / site map (see below) as Appendix B1 to this BAR; and if applicable, all ies and locations.  |
|---|---|
| Site Plan:  | <ul> <li>Detailed site development plan(s) must be prepared for each alternative site or alternative activity. The site plans must contain or conform to the following:</li> <li>The detailed site plan must preferably be at a scale of 1:500 or at an appropriate scale. The scale must be clearly indicated on the plan, preferably together with a linear scale.</li> <li>The property boundaries and numbers of all the properties within 50m of the site must be indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>On land where the property has not been defined, the co-ordinates of the area in which the proposed activity or development is proposed must be provided.</li> <li>The current land use (not zoning) as well as the land use zoning of each of the adjoining properties must be clearly indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>The position of each component of the proposed activity or development as well as any other structures on the site must be indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>Services, including electricity supply cables (indicate aboveground or underground), water supply pipelines, boreholes, sewage pipelines, storm water infrastructure and access roads that will form part of the proposed development <b>must</b> be clearly indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>Servitudes and an indication of the purpose of each servitude must be indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>Servitudes and an indication of the purpose of each servitude must be indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>Servitudes and an indication of the purpose of each servitude must be indicated on the site plan.</li> <li>Servitudes and an indication of the purpose of each servitude must be included on the site plan, including (but not limited to):</li> <li>Watercourses / Rivers / Wetlands</li> <li>Flood lines (i.e., 1:100 year, 1:50 year and 1:10 year where applicable);</li> <li>Coastal Risk Zones as delineated for the Western Cape by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning ("DEA&amp;DP"):</li> <li>Ridges:</li> <li>Cultural and historical features/landscapes:</li></ul> |
| Site photographs  | Colour photographs of the site that shows the overall condition of the site and its surroundings (taken on the site and taken from outside the site) with a description of each photograph. The vantage points from which the photographs were taken must be indicated on the site plan, or locality plan as applicable. If available, please also provide a recent aerial photograph. Photographs must be attached to this BAR as <b>Appendix C</b> . The aerial photograph(s) should be supplemented with additional photographs of relevant features on the site. Date of photographs must be included. Please note that the above requirements must be duplicated for all alternative sites.  |
| Biodiversity<br>Overlay Map:                                      | A map of the relevant biodiversity information and conditions must be provided as an overlay map on the property/site plan. The Map must be attached to this BAR as <b>Appendix D</b> .   |
| Linear activities<br>or development<br>and multiple<br>properties | GPS co-ordinates must be provided in degrees, minutes and seconds using the Hartebeeshoek<br>94 WGS84 co-ordinate system.<br>Where numerous properties/sites are involved (linear activities) you must attach a list of the Farm<br>Name(s)/Portion(s)/Erf number(s) to this BAR as an Appendix.<br>For linear activities that are longer than 500m, please provide a map with the co-ordinates taken<br>every 100m along the route to this BAR as <b>Appendix A3</b> .   |

## ACRONYMS

| DAFF:    | Department of Forestry and Fisheries                         |
|----------|--|
| DEA:     | Department of Environmental Affairs                          |
| DEA& DP: | Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning |
| DHS:     | Department of Human Settlement                               |
| DoA:     | Department of Agriculture                                    |
| DoH:     | Department of Health   |
| DWS:     | Department of Water and Sanitation                           |
| EMPr:    | Environmental Management Programme                           |
| HWC:     | Heritage Western Cape  |
| NFEPA:   | National Freshwater Ecosystem Protection Assessment          |
| NSBA:    | National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment                     |
| TOR:     | Terms of Reference   |

| WCBSP: | Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan |
|--------|--|
| WCG:   | Western Cape Government                |

### ATTACHMENTS

**Note:** The Appendices must be attached to the BAR as per the list below. Please use a  $\checkmark$  (tick) or a x (cross) to indicate whether the Appendix is attached to the BAR.

The following checklist of attachments must be completed.

| APPENDIX    |  |  |        |  |
|-------------|--|--|--------|--|
|             | Maps   |  |        |  |
|             | Appendix A1:   | Locality Map   | ✓      |  |
| Appendix A: | Appendix A2:   | Coastal Risk Zones as delineated in terms of<br>ICMA for the Western Cape by the Department<br>of Environmental Affairs and Development<br>Planning  | x      |  |
|             | Appendix A3:   | Map with the GPS co-ordinates for linear activities  | ✓      |  |
|             | Appendix B1:   | Site development plan(s)   | ~      |  |
| Appendix B: | Appendix B2  | A map of appropriate scale, which<br>superimposes the proposed development and<br>its associated structures and infrastructure on<br>the environmental sensitivities of the preferred<br>site, indicating any areas that should be<br>avoided, including buffer areas; | ×      |  |
| Appendix C: | Photographs  |  |        |  |
| Appendix D: | Biodiversity overlay map   |  |        |  |
|             | Permit(s) / license(s) / exemption notice, agreements, comments from State Department/Organs of state and service letters from the municipality. |  |        |  |
|             | Appendix E1:   | Final comment/ROD from HWC<br>Heritage Statement for possible graves   | ✓<br>✓ |  |
|             | Appendix E2:   | Copy of comment from Cape Nature   | x      |  |
|             | Appendix E3:   | Final Comment from the DWS   | x      |  |
| Appendix E: | Appendix E4:   | Comment from the DEA: Oceans and Coast   | x      |  |
|             | Appendix E5:   | Comment from the DAFF  | x      |  |
|             | Appendix E6:   | Comment from WCG: Transport and Public<br>Works  | x      |  |
|             | Appendix E7:   | Comment from WCG: DoA  | x      |  |
|             | Appendix E8:   | Comment from WCG: DHS  | x      |  |

|             | Appendix E9: Comment from WCG: DoH  |   | x      |
|-------------|---|---|--------|
|             | Appendix E10:   | Comment from DEA&DP: Pollution<br>Management                                      | x      |
|             | Appendix E11:   | Comment from DEA&DP: Waste Management   | x      |
|             | Appendix E12:   | Comment from DEA&DP: Biodiversity   | x      |
|             | Appendix E13:   | Comment from DEA&DP: Air Quality  | x      |
|             | Appendix E14:   | Comment from DEA&DP: Coastal<br>Management  | x      |
|             | Appendix E15:   | Comment from the local authority  | x      |
|             | Appendix E16:   | Confirmation of all services (water, electricity, sewage, solid waste management) | x      |
|             | Appendix E17:   | Comment from the District Municipality  | x      |
|             | Appendix E18: Copy of an exemption notice   |   | x      |
|             | Appendix E19 Pre-approval for the reclamation of land   |   | x      |
|             | Appendix E20:   | Proof of agreement/TOR of the specialist studies conducted.                       | x      |
|             | Appendix E21:   | Proof of land use rights  | x      |
|             | Appendix E22:   | Proof of public participation agreement for linear activities                     | x      |
| Appendix F: | Public participation information: including a copy of the register of I&APs, the comments and responses Report, proof of notices, advertisements and any other public participation information as is required. |   | 1      |
| Appendix G: | Specialist Report(s)  |   | ✓      |
| Appendix H: | EMPr  |   | ✓      |
| Appendix I: | Screening tool report   |   | ✓      |
| Appendix J: | The impact and risk assessment for each alternative   |   | In BAR |
| Appendix K: | Need and desirability for the proposed activity or development in<br>terms of this Department's guideline on Need and Desirability (March<br>2013)/DEA Integrated Environmental Management Guideline            |   | *      |
| Appendix L: | Traffic Impact Asses<br>Agricultural Statem   | x   |        |

## SECTION A: ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

|  | CAPE TOWN OFFICE:  |   | GEORGE OFFICE:    |   |  |
|--|--|---|-------------------|---|--|
| Highlight the Departmental<br>Region in which the intended<br>application will fall                    | REGION 1<br>(City of Cape Town,<br>West Coast District   | REGION 2<br>{Cape Winelands<br>District &<br>Overberg District} |                   | REGION 3<br>(Central Karoo District &<br>Garden Route District) |  |
| Duplicate this section where<br>there is more than one<br>Proponent<br>Name of<br>Applicant/Proponent: | Mossel Bay Municipality: Roads and Stormwater Department |   |                   |   |  |
| Name of contact person for<br>Applicant/Proponent (if other):  | Mr. Solly Beyi   |   |                   |   |  |
| Company/ Trading<br>name/State<br>Department/Organ of State:   | Mossel Bay Municip                                       | oality: Roads   | s and Sto         | ormwater Department   |  |
| Company Registration   | -  |   |                   |   |  |
| Postal address:  | Private Baa X 29   |   |                   |   |  |
|  | Mossel Bay   |   | Postal o          | code: 6500  |  |
| Telephone:   | 044 606 5252   |   | Cell:             |   |  |
| E-mail:  | abeyi@mosselbay.   | gov.za  | Fax:              |   |  |
| Company of EAP:  | Sharples Environme                                       | ental Service   | es cc             |   |  |
| EAP name:  | John Sharples<br>Michael Bennett                         |   |                   |   |  |
| Postal address:  | PO Box 9087  |   |                   |   |  |
|  | George   |   | Postal code: 6530 |   |  |
| Telephone:   | 044 873 4923   |   | Cell:             |   |  |
| E-mail:  | michael@sescc.net  |   | Fax: (            | ax: ( )   |  |
|  | John Sharples: • Me                                      | Intoesesce.net  |                   | ronmental Management  |  |
| Qualifications:  | • B-   | Tech in Natu  | Jre Cons          | ervation  |  |
|  | Michael Bennett.   | BSc: Enviror  | omental           | Science and Oceanoaraphy  |  |
| EAPASA registration no:  | EAPASA registration                                      | no: 1485  | Internet          |   |  |
| Duplicate this section where<br>there is more than one<br>landowner<br>Name of landowner:              | Tom Muller   |   |                   |   |  |
| Name of contact person for<br>landowner (if other):  | Tom Muller   |   |                   |   |  |
|  | Shop F92, Parkview                                       | Shopping (  | Centre,           |   |  |
| Postal address:  | Cnr Garsfontein Ro<br>Moreleta Park,                     | ad and Net  | care Stre         | eet,  |  |
|  | Pretoria East  |   |                   |   |  |
|  |  |   | Postal a          | code: 0181  |  |
| Telephone:   | 012 368 1555   |   | Cell:             |   |  |
| E-mail:  | tom@rockwoodthe  | atre.co.za  | Fax: (            | )   |  |
| Name of Person in control of   | Tom Muller   |   |                   |   |  |
| Name of contact person for   |  |   |                   |   |  |
| person in control of the land:   |  |   |                   |   |  |
| Postal address:  | s: Same as above   |   |                   |   |  |
| Telenhono  |  |   | Cell.             |   |  |
| E-mail:  |  |   | Fax: (            | )   |  |
|  |  |   |                   |   |  |

Duplicate this section where there is more than one Municipal Jurisdiction

Mossel Bay Municipality

| Municipality in whose area of<br>jurisdiction the proposed |              |
|--|--------------|
| activity will fall:  |              |
| Contact person:  |              |
| Postal address:  |              |
|  | Postal code: |
| Telephone  | ( ) Cell:    |
| E-mail:  | Fax: ( )     |

# SECTION B: CONFIRMATION OF SPECIFIC PROJECT DETAILS AS INLCUDED IN THE APPLICATION FORM

| 1.  | Is the proposed development (please tick):  | New           | Х       | Expansion |                  |  |
|---|---|---------------|---------|-----------|------------------|--|
| 2.  | Is the proposed site(s) a brownfield of greenfield site? Please explain.  |               |         |           |                  |  |
| Gree  | enfield, the site is undeveloped  |               |         |           |                  |  |
| 3.  | For Linear activities or developments   |               |         |           |                  |  |
| 3.1.  | Provide the Farm(s)/Farm Portion(s)/Erf number(s) for all routes:   |               |         |           |                  |  |
| Rem   | ainder of Portion 7 of the Farm 225, D  | Dana Bay, Mos | sel Bay |           |                  |  |
| 3.2.  | Development footprint of the proposed development for all alternatives. 34297 m <sup>2</sup>  |               |         |           |                  |  |
|   |   |               |         |           |                  |  |
| 3.3.  | Provide a description of the proposed development (e.g. for roads the length, width and width of the road reserve<br>in the case of pipelines indicate the length and diameter) for all alternatives. |               |         |           | the road reserve |  |
| Due to fire safety risks associated with only having one road in and out of Dana Bay, the Mossel Bay Municipality proposes to construct an additional gravel emergency access road in the western reaches of Dana Bay which will essentially extend Flora Road across Remainder of the Portion 7 of the Farm 225 and have a flat junction with the N2 opposite the existing R327 junction. The road will have a locked gate at both ends and will only be utilized in emergency situations. The proposed access road will be 6m wide with a reserve of 20m. |   |               |         |           |                  |  |



The farm portion is currently rented out and is utilised for cattle grazing.

3.4. Indicate how access to the proposed routes will be obtained for all alternatives.

The site will be accessed from the northern and southern most parts of the proposed road where it will junction with the N2, (opposite the R327 and N2 Junction), as shown in Figure 3, and the end of Flora Road as seen on Figure 4.



Figure 3: N2 Junction



Figure 4: Flora Road Junction

Since the NOI, the preferred Alternative has changed from that of a tarred road to that of a gravel road. The preferred Alternative A will only be an emergency road with locked gates at each end. This Alternative was developed due to the findings of the TIA which highlighted the need for a large diamond interchange. This will drastically drive the cost of the project up, therefore a gravel road only used in times of emergencies negates the need for a large intersection.

Please see the findings of the TIA for Alternative B, please note that this alternative is however not viable and should not be authorised.

A Traffic Impact Assessment, compiled SMEC, dated June 2020, for Alternative B (not viable), the conclusions and recommendations are as follows For Alternative B(non-viable option):

For future growth purposes, it was assumed that the remaining erven in the Dana Bay will be 50% developed within 5 years, and 100% developed within 10 years. It is anticipated that the other planned developments will be 50% developed within 5 years, and 100% developed within 10 years.

It is anticipated that Phase 1 of the land use development would generate 894 and 871 new vehicular trips during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours respectively, and Phase 1 + 2 of the land use development would generate 1 788 and 1 743 new vehicular trips during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours respectively.

In the event that the Dana Bay Alternate Access would serve as a primary or secondary access to the area, the following road improvements would be required:

• Construct a diamond interchange with single lane on- and off-ramps (figure 5); and

• The bridge over the N2 Freeway to comprise one lanes per direction, as well as a short right-turn lane; and

• Traffic signals serving as junction control at the north terminal (Figure 6) and south terminal (Figure 7) of the diamond interchange.







### SECTION C: LEGISLATION/POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES/PROTOCOLS

#### 1. Exemption applied for in terms of the NEMA and the NEMA EIA Regulations

| Has exemption been applied for in terms of the NEMA and the NEMA EIA Regulations. If yes, include | VES             | NO |
|---|-----------------|----|
| a copy of the exemption notice in Appendix E18.   | + <del>E3</del> | NO |

#### 2. Is the following legislation applicable to the proposed activity or development.

| The National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, 2008 (Act No. 24 of 2008) ("ICMA"). If yes, attach a copy of the comment from the relevant competent authority as Appendix E4 and the pre-approval for the reclamation of land as Appendix E19. | ¥E\$       | NO |
|---|------------|----|
| The National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) ("NHRA"). If yes, attach a copy of the comment from Heritage Western Cape as Appendix E1.  | YES        | NO |
| The National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) ("NWA"). If yes, attach a copy of the comment from the DWS as Appendix E3.  | YES        | NO |
| The National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004) ("NEM:AQA").<br>If yes, attach a copy of the comment from the relevant authorities as Appendix E13.   | YES        | NO |
| The National Environmental Management Waste Act (Act No. 59 of 2008) ("NEM:WA")   | <b>YES</b> | NO |
| The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004 ("NEMBA").   | <b>YES</b> | NO |
| The National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003) ("NEMPAA").   | YES        | NO |
| The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983). If yes, attach comment from the relevant competent authority as Appendix E5.   | YES        | NO |

#### 3. Other legislation

List any other legislation that is applicable to the proposed activity or development. Amended Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, GN No. R. 324 – 327 (7 April 2017) National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA), Act 10 of 2004 The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act 108 of 1996) Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983) (CARA)

National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA)

Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, 2013 (Act 16 of 2013) (SPLUMA)

The National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998

The National Heritage Resources Act, Act 25 of 1999

#### 4. Policies

Explain which policies were considered and how the proposed activity or development complies and responds to these policies.

The following priority projects were recommended in the Fourth Generation IDP 2017 - 2022.

• Upgrade of Flora road, including public transport and non-motorised transport routes using the "complete streets" approach. Paving of sidewalks in Flora Road is also included;

• Re-align Flora road and link to the existing Crotz Street / R102 Louie Fourie Road intersection and signalise the new four-way intersection

• Extend Kreupelhout Street to Flora Road to provide access to the proposed Technikon site

• Extend Apiesdoring Street from Spekboom Street to Flora Road

#### 5. Guidelines

List the guidelines which have been considered relevant to the proposed activity or development and explain how they have influenced the development proposal.

- Guideline on Need and Desirability, 2017, Department of Environmental Affairs
- Guideline on Need and Desirability (March 2013).
- DEA&DP EIA Guideline and Information Document Series: March 2013 Generic terms of reference for EAPs and Project schedules
- DEA&DP EIA Guideline and Information Document Series: March 2013 Guideline on public participation
- DEA&DP EIA Guideline and Information Document Series: March 2013 Guideline on alternatives
- Guideline on Alternatives (2013)
- Guideline for determining the scope of specialist involvement in EIA processes, June 2005.
- Guideline for the Review of Specialist Input in the EIA process (June 2005)
- Guideline for involving biodiversity specialists in the EIA process, June 2005.
- Guideline for Environmental Management Plans (June 2005);
- Western Cape Provincial Spatial development Framework
- Mossel Bay IDP & SDF

#### 6. Protocols

Explain how the proposed activity or development complies with the requirements of the protocols referred to in the NOI and/or application form

No applicable Protocol

## SECTION D: APPLICABLE LISTED ACTIVITIES

List the applicable activities in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations

| Activity No(s): | Provide the relevant <b>Basic Assessment Activity(ies)</b><br>as set out in <b>Listing Notice 1</b>  | Describe the portion of the proposed development to which the applicable listed activity relates.  |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 12              | The development of—<br>(i) dams or weirs, where the dam or weir,<br>including infrastructure and water<br>surface area, exceeds 100 square<br>metres; or<br>(ii) infrastructure or structures with a<br>physical footprint of 100 square metres or<br>more;<br>where such development occurs—<br>(a) within a watercourse;<br>(b) in front of a development setback; or<br>(c) if no development setback exists,<br>within 32 metres of a watercourse,<br>measured from the edge of a<br>watercourse; —<br>excluding—<br>(a) the development of infrastructure or<br>structures within existing ports or harbours<br>that will not increase the development<br>footprint of the port or harbour;<br>(bb) where such development activities<br>are related to the development of a port<br>or harbour, in which case activity 26 in<br>Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies;<br>(cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing<br>hat is a contractive of a structure of the interview of the in | The proposed emergency access road<br>will be larger than 100 square meters<br>and will be located within 32 meters<br>from an used farm dam and drainage<br>line that extends from the dam. |
|                 | Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing   |  |

|                 | Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that<br>activity applies;<br>(dd) where such development occurs<br>within an urban area; [or]<br>(ee) where such development occurs<br>within existing roads, [or] road reserves or<br>railway line reserves; or<br>(ff) the development of temporary<br>infrastructure or structures where such<br>infrastructure or structures will be |  |
|-----------------|--|--|
|                 | commencement of development and<br>where indigenous vegetation will not be   |  |
|                 | cleared.   |  |
| 19              | The infilling or depositing of any material  | The construction of the road will result                     |
|                 | of more than 10 cubic metres into or the   | in the movement of more than 10                              |
|                 | dredging excavation removal or   | Cubic meters of material from the                            |
|                 | moving of soil sand shells shell arit  | unused dam drainage line                                     |
|                 | noving of soil, sand, shens, shen gin,   | onosed dann drainage line.                                   |
|                 | metres from a wateresures  |  |
|                 | merres from a watercourse,   |  |
|                 | but excluding where such infilling,  |  |
|                 | aepositing, areaging, excavation,  |  |
|                 | removal or moving—   |  |
|                 | (a) will occur behind a development  |  |
|                 | setback;   |  |
|                 | (b) is for maintenance purposes  |  |
|                 | Underfaken in accordance with a  |  |
|                 | maintenance management plan;   |  |
|                 | (c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in   |  |
|                 | This Notice, in which case that activity   |  |
|                 | applies;   |  |
|                 | (d) occurs within existing ports or  |  |
|                 | narbours that will not increase the  |  |
|                 | barbour: or  |  |
|                 | (a) where such development is related to   |  |
|                 | the development of a port or barbour in  |  |
|                 | which case activity 24 in Listing Notice 2   |  |
|                 | of 2014 applies  |  |
| 24              | The development of a read  | The read is approximately 1.4km in                           |
| 24              | (i) for which an environmental   | length and will have a road resure of                        |
|                 | authorisation was obtained for the   | 20m  |
|                 | routedetermination in terms of activity 5  |  |
|                 | in Government Notice 387 of 2006 or  |  |
|                 | activity 18 in Government Notice 545 of  |  |
|                 | 2010: or   |  |
|                 | (ii) with a reserve wider than 13.5 meters.  |  |
|                 | or where no reserve exists where the road  |  |
|                 | is wider than 8 metres:  |  |
|                 | but excluding a road—  |  |
|                 | (a) which [are] is identified and included   |  |
|                 | in activity 27 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014   |  |
|                 | (b) where the entire road falls within an  |  |
|                 | urban area: or   |  |
|                 | (c) which is 1 kilometre or shorter.   |  |
|                 |  |  |
| Activity No(s): |  | Describe the portion of the proposed                         |
|                 | as set out in Listing Notice 3   | development to which the applicable listed activity relates. |

| 4 | The development of a road wider than 4<br>metres with a reserve less than 13,5<br>metres.<br>i. Western Cape<br>i. Areas zoned for use as public open<br>space or equivalent zoning;<br>ii. Areas outside urban areas;<br>(aa) Areas containing indigenous<br>vegetation;<br>(bb) Areas on the estuary side of the<br>development setback line or in an<br>estuarine functional zone where no such<br>setback line has been determined; or<br>iii. Inside urban areas:<br>(aa) Areas zoned for conservation use; or<br>(bb) Areas designated for conservation<br>use in Spatial Development Frameworks<br>adopted by the competent authority. | This activity will be triggered as<br>although the property is used for<br>grazing the southernmost section of<br>the route contains indigenous<br>vegetation. |
|---|---|--|
|   |   |  |

Note:

• The listed activities specified above must reconcile with activities applied for in the application form. The onus is on the Applicant to ensure that all applicable listed activities are included in the application. If a specific listed activity is not included in an Environmental Authorisation, a new application for Environmental Authorisation will have to be submitted.

• Where additional listed activities have been identified, that have not been included in the application form, and amended application form must be submitted to the competent authority.

List the applicable waste management listed activities in terms of the NEM:WA

| Activity No(s): | Provide the relevant <b>Basic Assessment Activity(ies)</b><br>as set out in <b>Category A</b> | Describe<br>developm<br>activity rel | the<br>ient to<br>ates. | portion<br>which | of<br>the | the<br>applic | propos<br>able list | ed<br>ted |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|
|                 |   |                                      |                         |                  |           |               |                     |           |

List the applicable listed activities in terms of the NEM:AQA

| Activity No(s): | Provide the relevant Listed Activity(ies) | Describe<br>developm<br>activity rel | the<br>ent to<br>ates. | portion<br>which | of<br>the | the<br>applic | proposed<br>able listed |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|
|                 |   |                                      |                        |                  |           |               |                         |

### SECTION E: PLANNING CONTEXT AND NEED AND DESIRABILITY

1. Provide a description of the preferred alternative.

Due to fire safety risks associated with only having one road in and out of Dana Bay and due to peak hour congestion, the Mossel Bay Municipality proposes to construct an emergency access road in the western reaches of Dana Bay which will essentially extend Flora Road across Remainder of the Portion 7 of the Farm 225 and junction with the N2 opposite the existing R327 junction. The road will have a locked gate at both ends and will only be utilized in emergency situations. The proposed access road will be 6m wide with a reserve of 20m.

The farm portion is currently rented out and is utilised for cattle grazing. Please refer to Figure 8 for the proposed route of the Dana Bay Access Road.

| DANA BAY  |
|---|
| Figure 8: Proposed Dana Bay Access Road   |
| . Explain how the proposed development is in line with the existing land use rights of the property as you have indicated in the NOI and application form? Include the proof of the existing land use rights granted in Appendix E21. |
| he proposed entails the construction of an emergency access road.   |
| Explain how potential conflict with respect to existing approvals for the proposed site (as indicated in the NOI/and or application form) and the proposed development have been resolved.  |
| Not Applicable  |
| Explain how the proposed development will be in line with the following?  |
| .1 The Provincial Spatial Development Framework.  |
| According to the Mossel Bay Municipality Spatial Development Framework (May 2018), the Dana   |
| ay residents are concerned that they only have a single access road into the area off of Louis  |
| ourie Road next to Kwanonqaba. In addition, it also indicates that proposals have been made for   |
| asecond access road to the west linking op with the Mossgas access road of the NZ. Construction as mentioned must be investigated.  |

The proposal is therefore in line with the Mossel bay SDF (2018)

4.2 The Integrated Development Plan of the local municipality. The Mossel Bay IDP (4<sup>th</sup> Generation, 2017 – 2022), has indicated that one of the "SWOT" analysis Weakness of Dana Bay is that there is no Evacuation escape route out of Dana Bay.

The IDP indicates that there has been R15 000 000 allocated for the Construction of an Emergency road in Dana Bay, for 2020 and beyond (outer years).

The following priority projects were recommended in the Fourth Generation IDP 2017 – 2022.

• Upgrade of Flora road, including public transport and non-motorised transport routes using the "complete streets" approach. Paving of sidewalks in Flora Road is also included;

• Re-align Flora road and link to the existing Crotz Street / R102 Louie Fourie Road intersection and signalise the new four-way intersection

- Extend Kreupelhout Street to Flora Road to provide access to the proposed Technikon site
- Extend Apiesdoring Street from Spekboom Street to Flora Road

The proposal is therefore directly in line with the IDP and the proposal is in line with the time frames set aside to develop the proposed access road.

4.3. The Spatial Development Framework of the local municipality.

According to the Mossel Bay Municipality Spatial Development Framework (May 2018), the Dana Bay residents are concerned that they only have a single access road into the area off of Louis Fourie road next to Kwanonqaba. In addition, it also indicates that proposals have been made for a second access road to the west linking up with the MossGas access road on the N2. Construction as mentioned must be investigated.

The proposal is therefore in line with the Mossel Bay SDF (2018)

4.4. The Environmental Management Framework applicable to the area.

There were no intersections with an EMF for this site.

5. Explain how comments from the relevant authorities and/or specialist(s) with respect to biodiversity have influenced the proposed development.

No comments received from authorities yet as the report has not been subjected to a public participation process. Comments from Authorities will be included into the BAR after PPP has been conducted.

6. Explain how the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan (including the guidelines in the handbook) has influenced the proposed development.

As seen from Figure 9, showing the CBA layers from the Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan, only 1019m<sup>2</sup> of CBA 1: Terrestrial, will be affected by the proposal in the northern reaches near the N2. In the southern reaches, near Dana Bay, only 3960m<sup>2</sup> of CBA 1: Terrestrial will be affected. In addition, approximately 400m<sup>2</sup> of CBA 2: Terrestrial will be affected by the proposal in the southern reaches of the site.



Figure 9: WCBSP 2017

Please note the CBA mapping in the northern reaches of the site is sporadic and may have been mapped so in error.

According to the Biodiversity Survey: Proposed Dana Bay Access Road, dated December 2019, compiled by Mark Berry Environmental Consultants, the proposed access road runs through two small areas mapped as critical biodiversity areas (CBA's) (as seen in Figure 9). The southern portion forms part of a biodiversity corridor that runs in an east-west direction past the northern side of Dana Bay.

Apart from providing a backbone to the local biodiversity network, the latter corridor serves as an important passage along which fauna can migrate between the vegetation remnants. It is unclear what the rationale is behind the patchy CBA at the northern end of the route next to the N2. On the ground there does not seem to be any difference between the CBA patches and the areas in between, mapped as 'other natural areas'.

CBA's are defined as areas in a natural condition that are required to meet biodiversity targets, for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure (Pool-Stanvliet et al. 2017). These sites are selected for meeting national targets for species, habitats and ecological processes (Pool-Stanvliet et al. 2017). Many of these areas support known occurrences of threatened plant species, and/or may be essential elements of designated ecological corridors. Loss of designated CBA's is therefore not recommended. With the proposed road running through the CBA corridor one can expect an impact on its functionality.

However, compared to the road reserve extending into Dana Bay which runs through the main corridor, the portion in question is degraded and sits on the northern edge of the corridor. No Species of Conservation Concern were recorded.

7. Explain how the proposed development is in line with the intention/purpose of the relevant zones as defined in the ICMA.

| The ICMA does not apply to this proposal as the closest point of the proposal is approximately 1800m north of |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| the Hig   | h Water Mark (HWM).  |  |  |  |
| 8.  | Explain whether the screening report has changed from the one submitted together with the application form. The screening report must be attached as Appendix I. |  |  |  |
| No Ch   | ange   |  |  |  |
| 9.  | Explain how the proposed development will optimise vacant land available within an urban area.   |  |  |  |
| Not ap  | oplicable to this proposal.  |  |  |  |
| 10.   | Explain how the proposed development will optimise the use of existing resources and infrastructure.   |  |  |  |
| The pro   | oposal will optimise existing resources by not constructing a tarred road which will require an  |  |  |  |
| expen   | sive interchange. The gravel road will also mean that a cattle creep will not have to be   |  |  |  |
| constru   | ucted for the grazing livestock to cross the road. The western end of Flora Road will be utilised  |  |  |  |
| as the  | start point of the emergency access road which will optimes the use of Flora Road. The   |  |  |  |
| propos  | sal is however mainly to optimise the emergency readiness of Dana Bay to deal with   |  |  |  |
| evacu   | ations in the case of a fire spreading from east to west. In the current situation a fire sweeping   |  |  |  |
| from e  | ast to west will trap residents, possibly leading to large loss of life.   |  |  |  |
| 11.   | Explain whether the necessary services are available and whether the local authority has confirmed   |  |  |  |
|   | sufficient, spare, unallocated service capacity. (Confirmation of all services must be included in Appendix E16).  |  |  |  |
| Not ap  | oplicable to this proposal   |  |  |  |
| 12.   | In addition to the above, explain the need and desirability of the proposed activity or development in   |  |  |  |
|   | terms of this Department's guideline on Need and Desirability (March 2013) or the DEA's Integrated   |  |  |  |
|   | Environmental Management Guideline on Need and Desirability. This may be attached to this BAR as   |  |  |  |
|   | Appendix K.  |  |  |  |
| Please  | refer to Appendix K  |  |  |  |

## SECTION F: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Public Participation Process ("PPP") must fulfil the requirements as outlined in the NEMA EIA Regulations and must be attached as Appendix F. Please note that If the NEM: WA and/or the NEM: AQA is applicable to the proposed development, an advertisement must be placed in at least two newspapers.

1. Exclusively for linear activities: Indicate what PPP was agreed to by the competent authority. Include proof of this agreement in Appendix E22.

As the proposal falls within the confines of one property, normal Public Participation Process measures will be undertaken as outlined in the NEMA EIA Regulations.

2. Confirm that the PPP as indicated in the application form has been complied with. All the PPP must be included in Appendix F.

Confirmed. Please refer to Appendix F.

3. Confirm which of the State Departments and Organs of State indicated in the Notice of Intent/application form were consulted with.

Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning: Development Management (Region 3)

Garden Route District Municipality: Health and Environmental Services Garden Route District Municipality

Municipal Manager

Executive Manager: Planning and Economic

District Roads

Mossel Bay Local Municipality

Municipal Manager

Director Planning & Economic Development

Mossel Bay Local Municipality

Ward Councilor (Ward 11)

CapeNature Scientific Services: Land Use Advice Breede - Gouritz Catchment Management Agency Western Cape Government: Department of Agriculture

Heritage Western Cape

Mossel Bay Heritage Association

4. If any of the State Departments and Organs of State were not consulted, indicate which and why.

In terms of this proposal, only the applicable state Departments were contacted.

5. if any of the State Departments and Organs of State did not respond, indicate which.

| Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning: Development |
|---|
| Management (Region 3)   |
| Garden Route District Municipality: Health and Environmental Services     |
| Garden Route District Municipality  |
|   |
| Municipal Manager   |
| Executive Manager: Planning and Economic                                  |
| District Roads  |
| Mossel Bay Local Municipality   |
|   |
| Municipal Manager   |
| Director Planning & Economic Development                                  |
| Mossel Bay Local Municipality   |
| Ward Councilor (Ward 11)  |
| Western Cape Government: Department of Agriculture                        |
| Mossel Bay Heritage Association   |
|   |

6. Provide a summary of the issues raised by I&APs and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated into the development proposal.

Please refer to the Comments and Response Report, Appendix F.

#### Note:

A register of all the I&AP's notified, including the Organs of State, <u>and</u> all the registered I&APs must be included in Appendix F. The register must be maintained and made available to any person requesting access to the register in writing.

The EAP must notify I&AP's that all information submitted by I&AP's becomes public information.

Your attention is drawn to Regulation 40 (3) of the NEMA EIA Regulations which states that "Potential or registered interested and affected parties, including the competent authority, may be provided with an opportunity to comment on reports and plans contemplated in subregulation (1) prior to submission of an application but **must** be provided with an opportunity to comment on such reports once an application has been submitted to the competent authority."

All the comments received from I&APs on the pre -application BAR (if applicable and the draft BAR must be recorded, responded to and included in the Comments and Responses Report and must be included in Appendix F.

All information obtained during the PPP (the minutes of any meetings held by the EAP with I&APs and other role players wherein the views of the participants are recorded) and must be included in Appendix F.

Please note that proof of the PPP conducted must be included in Appendix F. In terms of the required "proof" the following is required:

- a site map showing where the site notice was displayed, dated photographs showing the notice displayed on site and a copy of the text displayed on the notice;
- in terms of the written notices given, a copy of the written notice sent, as well as:

- if registered mail was sent, a list of the registered mail sent (showing the registered mail number, the name of the person the mail was sent to, the address of the person and the date the registered mail was sent);
- if normal mail was sent, a list of the mail sent (showing the name of the person the mail was sent to, the address
  of the person, the date the mail was sent, and the signature of the post office worker or the post office stamp
  indicating that the letter was sent);
- o if a facsimile was sent, a copy of the facsimile Report;
- o if an electronic mail was sent, a copy of the electronic mail sent; and
- if a "mail drop" was done, a signed register of "mail drops" received (showing the name of the person the notice was handed to, the address of the person, the date, and the signature of the person); and
- a copy of the newspaper advertisement ("newspaper clipping") that was placed, indicating the name of the newspaper and date of publication (of such quality that the wording in the advertisement is legible).

## SECTION G: DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

All specialist studies must be attached as Appendix G.

#### 1. Groundwater





#### 2. Surface water

| 2.1.   | Was a specialist study conducted?  | YES | NO |  |  |
|--|--|-----|----|--|--|
| 2.2.   | 2.2. Provide the name and/or company who conducted the specialist study.   |     |    |  |  |
| Debbie Fordham, Sharples Environmental Services cc |  |     |    |  |  |
| 2.3.   | 2.3. Explain how the presence of watercourse(s) and/or wetlands on the property(ies) has influenced your proposed development. |     |    |  |  |

The presence of the water course located west of the route and the two unused dams influenced the route determination to avoid those areas.

The specialist study did however find the presence of a small depression wetland along the proposed route.

The wetland identified is not connected to the river network and the water source is likely to be rainfall dominated and prolonged flooding from restricted infiltration by a sub-surface clay layer. There is only temporary wetness and thus it is dominated by grass species. Soil augering within the depression showed evidence of periods of soil saturation with the presence of mottles within 50cm of the surface.

#### 3. Coastal Environment

| 3.1. | Was a specialist study conducted?  | YES            | NO   |  |  |  |
|------|--|----------------|------|--|--|--|
| 3.2. | 3.2. Provide the name and/or company who conducted the specialist study.   |                |      |  |  |  |
|      |  |                |      |  |  |  |
| 3.3. | .3. Explain how the relevant considerations of Section 63 of the ICMA were taken into account and explain how the influenced your proposed development.                        |                |      |  |  |  |
|      |  |                |      |  |  |  |
| 3.4. | Explain how estuary management plans (if applicable) has influenced the prop   | osed developme | ent. |  |  |  |
|      |  |                |      |  |  |  |
| 3.5. | 5.5. Explain how the modelled coastal risk zones, the coastal protection zone, littoral active zone and estuarine function<br>zones, have influenced the proposed development. |                |      |  |  |  |

#### 4. Biodiversity

| 4.1.  | Were specialist studies conducted?  | YES | NO |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----|----|--|--|--|
| 4.2.  | 4.2. Provide the name and/or company who conducted the specialist studies.  |     |    |  |  |  |
| Mark I  | Berry Environmental Consultants   |     |    |  |  |  |
| 4.3.  | 4.3. Explain which systematic conservation planning and other biodiversity informants such as vegetation maps, NFEPA, NSBA etc. have been used and how has this influenced your proposed development. |     |    |  |  |  |
| Please refer to Figure 11, as seen from the CBA map, the placement of the proposed has been highly influenced by the sensitive features in the vicinity of the proposed route. The route has been strategically placed to avoid (as much as possible) CBA's (both aquatic and terrestrial). |   |     |    |  |  |  |



The proposed Alternative B will cut the existing property in half, limiting livestock movements. This concern has however been raised by the landowner in the initial project meeting however he has also indicated that he will be content with some form of livestock cross, such as a cattle creep. The Alternative A will however not have this effect on the property as the livestock will be able to move freely across the road as there will be no daily traffic due to the locked gates proposed at either end.

According to the Biodiversity Survey; "With the proposed road running through the CBA corridor one can expect an impact on its functionality. However, compared to the road reserve extending into Dana Bay which runs through the main corridor, the portion in question is degraded and sits on the northern edge of the corridor."

| 4.6. If your proposed development is located in a protected area, explain how the proposed development is in line with |
|--|
|--|

Not Applicable

| 4.7. | Explain how the presence of fauna on and adjacent to the proposed development has influenced your proposed development. |
|------|---|
|      |   |

The screening tool report recommended a fauna assessment and as such Chepri (Pty) Ltd was appointed to undertake the fauna assessment.

The report indicates that:

The one mammal SCC, Species 5, that was identified by the Screening Tool (Table 1) was not found in the site, understandably, considering that the site's habitat is not favourable for this species. The areas surrounding the site, especially on the southern portion's eastern and western borders, supports habitat that seems fairly intact. It is therefore possible that the site area forms part of a functional corridor for animal species.

The site is potentially marginal hunting habitat for the African Marsh Harrier (C. ranivorus), outlined by the screening tool as Medium sensitivity, and one individual was observed on the site. The other three listed sensitive bird species, all listed as High sensitivity, Denham's Bustard (N. denhami) Knysna Warbler (B. sylvaticus) and Knysna Woodpecker (C. notata), were not observed on the site even though the habitat could potentially support these species. The human disturbances on and around the site and the low observer records (SABAP 2) render their potential occurrence on the site as Low.

Of the invertebrates sampled and found, two belonged to the species identified by the screening tool as of medium sensitivity, namely the Brenton Copper (A. thyra orientis) which is Endangered (EN) and the Yellow-winged Agile Grasshopper (A. montanus). The habitat on the site was favourable for the requirements of the listed butterfly species, the Endangered (EN) Brenton Blue (L. littoralis) and Critically Endangered (CR) Species 13, even though no individuals were observed on the site. However, considering that sampling occurred outside of these species' flight periods, in conjunction with the favourable conditions, the likely occurrence of these species is therefore considered Medium to High.

The impact of the proposed development for the sensitive mammal species identified by the Screening Tool is considered low since the likelihood of their occurrence is low. The site, especially its southern portion, seem to play a role regarding connectivity and therefore mammal movement which could be impacted by the construction of a 6 m wide road on the proposed site with fences that are not permeable to wildlife movement. The proposed road, however, will be a gravel road and only accessible for emergencies. If these conditions for the road are adhered to, after construction, the impact on mammal species is envisaged to be relatively low. Similarly, the road, under the stipulated development and use conditions, will have a low impact on the listed bird SCCs. From an invertebrate conservation perspective, however, the development will have a high impact on the listed SCCs considering that an endangered butterfly species and vulnerable grasshopper species were found and that there is a likelihood that the other two butterfly SCCs listed, one endangered and one critically endangered, occur on the site. The high impact of the development of the road will mainly be because of the large footprint of the road with its proposed 6m width and hence as a result of vegetation (butterfly host species) removal for the construction of this road.

We therefore recommend that should the requirements for a wide road and reserve be essential, an Animal Species Environmental Impact Assessment, with specific focus on the listed invertebrate SCCs, be undertaken first before considering the proposed development. Alternatively, if the plans for the proposed development could be amended and the width of the two-track road currently existing on the southern portion of the site – with absolute minimal vegetation clearance - and additionally closed off with wildlife-permeable fencing and only used for emergencies, as proposed, then the impact is likely to be much less and would not require further study.

#### Note from the EAP:

As seen from Figures 12 and 13, the observations of the two SCC are located outside of the area of study, Figure 12 shows the Remainder of Portion 7 of Farm 225 incorrectly, please refer to figure 13 for the actual extent of the property. Due to complications resulting from the specialists being in other parts of Africa, he has been unable to revise the report. The observations and sensitivity ratings relating to those observation should be ignored for the purpose of this assessment as the existing Flora Road which extends up towards the property is an existing road with a servitude and as such that section of the Flora Road Extension is not listed in terms of the NEMA EIA regulations





Figure 13: Remainder of Portion 7 of the Farm 225, Mossel Bay

#### 5. Geographical Aspects

Explain whether any geographical aspects will be affected and how has this influenced the proposed activity or development. The entire site is zoned for agriculture and has been used as such for many years, as such the entire site has been ploughed (rock stockpiles noted on site) and is currently used for grazing. The site has been significantly modified as is evident by the unused dams and irrigation gully remnants which have resulted in unnatural topographical features on site.

#### 6. Heritage Resources

|       |  | No, see HWC comment.   |  |
|-------|--|--|--|
| 6.1.  | Was a specialist study conducted?  | Specialist input was<br>requested as explained<br>below  |  |
| 6.2.  | 2. Provide the name and/or company who conducted the specialist study.   |  |  |
| Jonat | han Kaplan, Agency for Cultural Resource Management  |  |  |
| 6.3.  | Explain how areas that contain sensitive heritage resources have influenced the proposed development.  |  |  |
|       | Heritage Western Cape indicated in their response to a HWC   | NID for the proposal that no   |  |
|       | further studies are required, however there are some rocks plac<br>which may be rock stock piles from agricultural activities or<br>specialists was appointed to conduct a site visit to confirm whe<br>rock stockpile, the specialist confirmed that the rocks on site ar | ed near a sandstone outcrop<br>may be historic graves. The<br>ther the rocks are graves or a<br>re a stockpile and not graves. |  |
|       | Please refer to Appenaix E1 for the Heritage Specialist's Statem   | ent on the matter.   |  |

#### 7. Historical and Cultural Aspects

Explain whether there are any culturally or historically significant elements as defined in Section 2 of the NHRA that will be affected and how has this influenced the proposed development. No culturally or historically significant elements on the site as confirmed by Heritage Western Cape.

#### 8. Socio/Economic Aspects

| 8.1.   | Describe the existing social and economic characteristics of the community in the vicinity of the proposed site.   |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | According to the Mossel Bay Municipality Fourth Generation IDP 2017 – 2022: Mossel Bay has<br>the second largest population in the Garden Route District Municipality with a population size<br>of 94 135 as per the 2016 Community Survey results. According to the forecasts of the Western<br>Cape Department of Social Development, the population is expected to reach 105556 by<br>2023.<br>In 2017, Mossel Bay's population gender breakdown will be relatively evenly split between<br>male (47 720, 48,7 per cent) and female (50 261, 51.3 per cent). For 2023, the split is anticipated<br>to 51 225 (48,5 per cent) and 54 331 (51,5 per cent) for males and females respectively.<br>The majority of Mossel Bay's population is concentrated between the ages of 20 to 39, which<br>is possibly reflective of an influx of young working professionals into the region (increased<br>employment opportunities as a result of positive economic growth in the region). It is also<br>noticeable that the population numbers in the older age categories remain relatively high in<br>comparison to other districts. This trend can be attributed to the fact that Mossel Bay and its<br>surrounding areas remain a popular retirement destination.<br>The IDP also indicates that the dependency ration of Mossel Bay Municipality increased from<br>49.7 in 2011 to 53.4 in 2017 but is expected to stabilise at 53.3 towards 2023. |  |
| 0.0  | Evelois the secie economic value (contribution of the proposed development   |  |
| 8.Z.   | Explain the socio-economic value/contribution of the proposed development.   |  |
| The pr<br>supply<br>Reside<br>blocke   | The project will provide temporary jobs for the construction teams, create capital influx for those supplying services and materials for the construction thereof, provide an emergency exit to Dana Bay Residents in the event of a fire or other emergency where the main entrance into Dana Bay becomes blocked or unusable.  |  |
| 8.3.   | Explain what social initiatives will be implemented by applicant to address the needs of the community and to uplift the area.   |  |
| Local  | labour and SMME will be utilised during the construction phase as per municipal requirements.  |  |
| 8.4.   | Explain whether the proposed development will impact on people's health and well-being (e.g. in terms of noise, odours, visual character and sense of place etc) and how has this influenced the proposed development.   |  |
| Sense  | of place will be affected however road networks and the safety of an additional exit from  |  |
| Dana   | Bay is essential in this case. As there are no farm building on the property there are no nearby   |  |
| noise receptors and therefore there will be no significant noise impacts during the construction phase<br>for the proposal |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### SECTION H: ALTERNATIVES, METHODOLOGY AND ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES

#### 1. Details of the alternatives identified and considered

| 1.1.   | Property and site alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.   |
|--|--|
| Provide a de   | escription of the preferred property and site alternative.   |
| Due to fire<br>Municipali<br>will essenti<br>N2 opposi<br>utilized in<br>The propo | e safety risks associated with only having one road in and out of Dana Bay, the Mossel Bay<br>lity proposes to construct an emergency access road in the western reaches of Dana Bay which<br>ially extend Flora Road across Remainder of the Portion 7 of the Farm 225 and junction with the<br>ite the existing R327 junction. The road will have a locked gate at both ends and will only be<br>emergency situations. The farm portion is currently rented out and is utilised for cattle grazing.<br>osed access road will be 6m wide with a reserve of 20m. |







| Noise generated by construction activities  |
|---|
| <ul> <li>Traffic impacts and road safety (Construction Phase)</li> </ul>  |
|   |
| <u>Positive</u>   |
| Increase in temporary job opportunities   |
| Emergency readiness and safety (Operational Phase)  |
| Capital expenditure due to construction costs   |
|   |
|   |
| 1.2. Activity alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.  |
| Provide a description of the preferred activity alternative.  |
| Please reter to Section B 3.3 for the description of the activity   |
| Provide a description of any other activity alternatives investigated.  |
| Due to nature of the proposal, there are no activity alternatives, other than the No-Go alternative.  |
| Due to pature of the proposal, there are no activity alternatives, other than the No Co alternative. There  |
| is currently only one access to Dana Bay. Due to the threat of fire and other factors such as unrest, there neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.   |
| Provide a detailed motivation if no activity alternatives exist.  |
| is currently only one access to Dana Bay. Due to the threat of fire and other factors such as unrest, there   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed<br>route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A<br>similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in<br>that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed<br>route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A<br>similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in<br>that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.<br>List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed<br>route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A<br>similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in<br>that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.<br>List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternatives investigated.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternatives investigated.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternatives investigated.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives will have on the environment.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives will have on the environment.  |
| nects to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternatives investigated.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives will have on the environment.  |
| necks to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design atternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         I.st the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives will have on the environment.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternatives investigated.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Its the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts. mitigate unavoidable negative impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, militgate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives will have on the environment.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts, miligate unavoidable negative impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative:         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative:         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives investigated.  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, miligate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives may and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives exist.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives investigated. <t< td=""></t<>                                  |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, miligate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives exist.         I.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts, miligate unavoidable negative impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of any other technology alternatives investigated.   |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts         Provide a description of the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a description for the preferred design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation if no design or layout alternatives will have on the environment.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of any other fechnology alternative:       Provide a description of any other fechnology alternatives will have on the environment.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of any other fechnology alternatives investigated.       Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative.       Provide a description of any other fechnology alternative.         Provide a motivation for the preferred technology al |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternatives investigated.         Provide a detailed motivation for the preferred design or layout alternatives exist.         List the positive and negative impacts that the design alternatives exist.         I.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives investigated.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternatives will have on the environment.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative:       Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative:         Provide a description of any other technology alternative.       Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative.      <      |
| neds to be another access. The only other logical route for people to leave is by car and via the proposed route. If a fire is being driven by the south east winds, then the current access road will be blocked. A similar situation occurred in the Knysna fires in places like Brenton, people were evacuated by boat in that case which is impractical in the Dana Bay scenario.         List the positive and negative impacts that the activity alternatives will have on the environment.         1.3.       Design or layout alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternative.         Provide a description of any other design or layout alternative.         Provide a detailed motivation for the preferred design or layout alternative.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of any other technology alternative:       Provide a description of any other technology alternative:         Provide a description of any other technology alternative.       Provide a description of the preferred technology alternative:         1.4.       Technology alternatives (e.g., to reduce resource demand and increase resource use efficiency) to avoid negative impacts.         Provide a description of any other technology alternative:       Provide a description of the preferred tech          |

Facilitated invasion by alien flora

٠

| 1.5. Operational alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts.   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Provide a description of the preferred operational alternative.   |  |  |
| Provide a description of any other operational alternatives investigated  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Provide a motivation for the preferred operational alternative.   |  |  |
| Provide a detailed motivation if no alternatives exist.   |  |  |
| List the positive and negative impacts that the operational alternatives will have on the environment.  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| 1.6. The option of not implementing the activity (the 'No-Go' Option).  |  |  |
| Provide an explanation as to why the 'No-Go' Option is not preferred.   |  |  |
| The No-Go Option/alternative is not preferred for the same reasons that the project is proposed, Dana<br>Bay currently has one access route which is located and feeds into Dana Bay from the north east. In the<br>event that a fire was to sweep through the area from west to east, the residents are able to evacuate<br>the area out of the current access point and out of harms way. In the event that a fire were to sweep<br>across the area from the opposite direction, east to west, there is a high risk that the access point will be<br>blocked off by the fire, trapping the residents in Dang Bay with performing a part of the current. |  |  |
| access could also be blocked due to protests or unrest. The proposed Access Road has therefore been   |  |  |
| proposed to increase emergency safety routes.   |  |  |
| 1.7. Provide and explanation as to whether any other alternatives to avoid negative impacts, mitigate unavoidable negative impacts and maximise positive impacts, or detailed motivation if no reasonable or feasible alternatives exist.   |  |  |
| 1.9 Provide a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives including the preferred location of the activity   |  |  |
| Alternative A is the preferred Alternative. The cost of implementing Alternative B is too high to justify the   |  |  |
| need. Alternative A therefore achieves the same core and to provide an Emergency access in the  |  |  |
| western reaches of Dana Bay. The property is preferred as it makes use of an eviting road that is currently   |  |  |
| a dead-end road. The Preferred Alternative negates the need for a costly intersection with the N2 and   |  |  |
| will instead make use of traffic control when the need arises.  |  |  |

#### 2. "No-Go" areas

Explain what "no-go" area(s) have been identified during identification of the alternatives and provide the co-ordinates of the "no-go" area(s).

There are no patches of endangered or conservational important species on the site however the fynbos at the southern boundary should be conserved as much as practically possible. Movements within this area must be limited by erecting demarcation to indicate the limits of the site in this area.

Although not a No-Go area, it is recommended that construction activities and site camps/storage areas be established on the eastern half of the property as there is a gentle slope westward. By doing so the site itself will act as a barrier limiting the transport of potential litter or contaminates from the storage areas or site camp from entering the watercourse located west of the site

## 3. Methodology to determine the significance ratings of the potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives.

Describe the methodology to be used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration of the potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the proposed activity or development and alternatives, the degree to which the impact or risk can be reversed and the degree to which the impact and risk may cause irreplaceable loss of resources.

The assessment criteria utilised in this environmental impact assessment is based on, and adapted from, the Guideline on Impact Significance, Integrated Environmental Management Information Series 5 (Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT), 2002) and the Guideline 5: Assessment of Alternatives and Impacts in Support of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (DEAT, 2006).

| Determination of Extent (Scale): |   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Site specific                    | On site or within 100 m of the site boundary, but not beyond the property boundaries.   |  |
| Local                            | The impacted area includes the whole or a measurable portion of the site and property, but could affect the area surrounding the development, including the neighbouring properties and wider municipal area. |  |
| Regional                         | The impact would affect the broader region (e.g. neighbouring towns) beyond the boundaries of the adjacent properties.  |  |
| National                         | The impact would affect the whole country (if applicable).  |  |

#### Determination of Duration:

| Temporary   | The impact will be limited to the construction phase.   |  |
|-------------|---|--|
| Short term  | The impact will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through a natural process in a period shorter than 8 months after the completion of the construction phase.             |  |
| Medium term | The impact will last up to the end of the construction phase, where after it will be<br>entirely negated in a period shorter than 3 years after the completion of<br>construction activities. |  |
| Long term   | The impact will continue for the entire operational lifetime of the development but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter.                              |  |
| Permanent   | This is the only class of impact that will be non-transitory. Such impacts are regarded to be irreversible, irrespective of what mitigation is applied.                                       |  |

#### **Determination of Probability:**

| Improbable         | The possibility of the impact occurring is very low, due either to the circumstances, design or experience.  |
|--------------------|--|
| Probable           | There is a possibility that the impact will occur to the extent that provisions must therefore be made.  |
| Highly<br>probable | It is most likely that the impacts will occur at some stage of the development. Plans must be drawn up to mitigate the activity before the activity commences. |
| Definite           | The impact will take place regardless of any prevention plans.   |

## Determination of Significance (without mitigation):

| No<br>significance | The impact is not substantial and does not require any mitigation action.  |
|--------------------|--|
| Low                | The impact is of little importance, but may require limited mitigation.  |
| Medium             | The impact is of sufficient importance and is therefore considered to have a negative impact. Mitigation is required to reduce the negative impacts to acceptable levels.  |
| Medium-High        | The impact is of high importance and is therefore considered to have a negative impact. Mitigation is required to manage the negative impacts to acceptable levels.  |
| High               | The impact is of great importance. Failure to mitigate, with the objective of reducing the impact to acceptable levels, could render the entire development option or entire project proposal unacceptable. Mitigation is therefore essential. |

| Very High  | The impact is critical. Mitigation measures cannot reduce the impact to acceptable levels. As such the impact renders the proposal unacceptable.   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Determination of Significance (with mitigation): |  |  |
| No<br>significance                               | The impact will be mitigated to the point where it is regarded to be insubstantial.  |  |
| Low  | The impact will be mitigated to the point where it is of limited importance.   |  |
| Medium   | Notwithstanding the successful implementation of the mitigation measures, the impact will remain of significance. However, taken within the overall context of the project, such a persistent impact does not constitute a fatal flaw. |  |
| High   | Mitigation of the impact is not possible on a cost-effective basis. The impact continues to be of great importance, and, taken within the overall context of the project, is considered to be a fatal flaw in the project proposal.    |  |

#### Determination of Reversibility:

|                       | •   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Completely Reversible | The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation measures   |
| Partly Reversible     | The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation measures        |
| Barely Reversible     | The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation measures |
| Irreversible          | The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures exist                 |

#### Determination of Degree to which an Impact can be Mitigated:

| Can be mitigated           | The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation measures   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Can be partly mitigated    | The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation measures        |
| Can be barely<br>mitigated | The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation measures |
| Not able to mitigate       | The impact is irreversible, and no mitigation measures exist                |

#### Determination of Loss of Resources:

| No loss of resource           | The impact will not result in the loss of any resources    |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Marginal loss of resource     | The impact will result in marginal loss of resources       |
| Significant loss of resources | The impact will result in significant loss of resources    |
| Complete loss of resources    | The impact will result in a complete loss of all resources |

| Determination of Cumulative Impact: |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Negligible                          | The impact would result in negligible to no cumulative effects |
|                                     |  |

| Low                | The impact would result in insignificant cumulative effects |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Medium             | The impact would result in minor cumulative effects         |  |
| High               | The impact would result in significant cumulative effects   |  |
| Determination of ( | Consequence significance:                                   |  |
| Negligible         | The impact would result in negligible to no consequences    |  |
| Low                | The impact would result in insignificant consequences       |  |
|                    |   |  |
| Medium             | The impact would result in minor consequences               |  |

#### 4. Assessment of each impact and risk identified for each alternative

**Note:** The following table serves as a guide for summarising each alternative. The table should be repeated for each alternative to ensure a comparative assessment. The EAP may decide to include this section as Appendix J to this BAR.

| Alternative: Preferred   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE   |  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | Excessive Vegetation clearance and earthworks could result in avoidable erosion of the site and surroundings   |  |
| Nature of impact:  | Negative   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Local and short to medium term   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | Medium<br>• Loss of developable land<br>• Loss of topsoil<br>• Scarred landscape   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Probable   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                    | Marginal loss of resource  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Completely reversible, however easier to prevent through mitigation measures   |  |
| Indirect impacts:  | <ul> <li>Loss of developable land</li> <li>Loss of topsoil</li> <li>Decrease in property values</li> <li>Decrease in attractiveness of indigenous landscape</li> <li>Invasion of alien vegetation</li> </ul>   |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | <ul> <li>Erosion of the vulnerable areas</li> <li>Alien vegetation establishment</li> <li>Loss of land (erosion)</li> <li>Compromised integrity of houses</li> <li>Loss of ecological habitat</li> <li>Decrease in property values of affected properties</li> <li>Invasion of alien vegetation</li> </ul> |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Medium   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Can be avoided   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Can be managed   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Can be mitigated   |  |

| Proposed mitigation:   | <ul> <li>Only the minimum require excavations and disturbances must be undertaken. No excessive excavations must be allowed.</li> <li>The space required to undertake the activities must be pegged/marked-out and demarcated prior to any vegetation clearing activities, areas outside of the demarcation must be regarded as No-Go areas.</li> <li>Silt traps must be installed along the disturbed areas, bare of vegetation</li> <li>Earthworks and excavations must be undertaken as prescribed in Section 8.11 EMPr.</li> <li>Construction activities should take place during the drier, low rainfall months. Disturbed areas should be revegetated once construction has taken place.</li> <li>No dumping of soil and / or any other material should take place within or within close proximity to the river and its riparian zone. The footprint of disturbance should be kept to an absolute minimum</li> <li>Disturbed area must be rehabilitated timelessly once activities in an area have concluded</li> </ul> |
|--|--|
|  | Alien vegetation establishment in disturbed areas  |
| Residual impacts:  | • Young establishing indigenous vegetation will be   |
|  | vulnerable to alien vegetation invasion until they   |
| Cumulative impact part mitigation:   | recovered sufficiently.  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation   | LOW  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | Low  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |  |
| Nature of impact:  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Residual impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |  |
| Nature of impact:  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |

| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
|--|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Residual impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative: Preferred   |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE   |   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | Contamination of the soil as a result of unmanaged<br>development activities - Contaminants such as oil,<br>diesel, etc. could contaminating the soil   |  |
| Nature of impact:  | Negative  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Local and Temporary   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | High <ul> <li>Contamination of soil</li> <li>Loss of fauna and flora</li> </ul>   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Probable  |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Marginal loss of resources  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Partly reversible   |  |
| Indirect impacts:  | Loss of biota<br>Loss of ecosystem functionality  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Contamination of soil<br>Loss of fauna and flora<br>Loss of ecosystem functionality   |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | High  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Can be avoided  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Can be managed  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Can be mitigated  |  |
|  | • General management measures relating to the management of waste and hazardous substances stated in the EMPr must be implemented as and where applicable, in consultation with the ECO. In addition:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   | <ul> <li>General Pollution Management:</li> <li>No pollution of surface water or ground water resources may occur due to any activity on the site.</li> <li>No storm water runoff from any premises containing waste, or water containing waste emanating from construction activities may be discharged into the environment. Polluted stormwater must be contained on the site.</li> <li>Cement batching / mixing may not take place directly on the soil surface, it must be done on an impervious lining that will prevent cement particles from contaminating the soil.</li> </ul> |  |
|  | • Dedicated waste bins or skips must be provided on site and kept in a demarcated area on an impermeable surface.   |  |

| <ul> <li>Separate waste bins/skips must be provided for recyclable waste, general waste and hazardous waste. Recovered builder's rubble &amp; green waste may be stockpiled on the ground within the site camp, or in separate skips until removal.</li> <li>Waste must be placed in the appropriate waste bins/skips/ stockpiles.</li> <li>Hazardous waste bins must be kept on an impermeable bunded surface capable of holding at least 110% of the volume of the bins.</li> <li>Skips/ bins must be provided with secure lids or covering that will prevent scavenging and windblown waste or dust.</li> <li>Waste bins/skips must be regularly emptied and must not be allowed to overflow.</li> <li>Construction workers must be instructed not to litter and to place all waste in the appropriate waste bins provided on site.</li> <li>The Contractor must ensure that all workers on site are familiar with the correct waste disposal procedures to be followed.</li> <li>Waste generated on site must be classified and managed in accordance with the National Environmental Management: Waste Act – Waste Classification and Management Regulations (GN No. R. 634 of August 2013).</li> <li>Disposal of waste to landfill must be undertaken in accordance with the National Environmental Management of Waste for Landfill Disposal (GN No. R. 635 of August 2013).</li> <li>All waste, hazardous as well as general, which result from the proposed activities must be disposal facility (WDF).</li> </ul> |
|---|
| <ul> <li>Pollution Management - hydrocarbons (oil, fuel etc.)</li> <li>Vehicles and machinery must be in good working order and must be regularly inspected for leaks.</li> <li>If a vehicle or machinery is leaking pollutants it must, as soon as possible, be taken to an appropriate location for repair. The ECO has the authority to request that any vehicle or piece of equipment that is contaminating the environment be removed from the site until it has been satisfactorily repaired.</li> <li>Repairs to vehicles/ machinery may take place on site, within a designated maintenance area at the site camp. Drip trays, tarpaulin or other impermeable layer must be laid down prior to undertaking repairs.</li> <li>Refuelling of vehicles/ machinery may only take place at the site camp or vehicle maintenance yard. Where refuelling must occur, drip trays should be utilised to catch potential spills/ drips.</li> <li>Drip trays must be utilised during decanting of hazardous substances and when refilling chemical/ fuel storage tanks.</li> <li>Drip trays must be placed under generators (if used on site) water number and any other machinery on</li> </ul>   |

|  | <ul> <li>site that utilises fuel/ lubricant, or where there is risk of leakage/spillage.</li> <li>Where feasible, fuel tanks should be elevated so that leaks are easily detected.</li> <li>A spill kit to neutralise/treat spills of fuel/ oil/ lubricants must be available on site, and workers must be educated on how to utilise the spill kit.</li> <li>Soil contaminated by hazardous substances must be excavated and disposed of as hazardous waste.</li> <li>Pollution Management - Ablution facilities</li> <li>Chemical toilets should be kept at the site camp, on a level surface and secured from blowing over.</li> <li>Toilets must be located well outside of any storm water drainage lines, and may not be linked to the storm water drainage system in any way.</li> <li>Chemical toilets must be regularly emptied and the waste disposed of at an appropriate waste water disposal/ treatment site. Care must be taken to prevent spillages when moving or servicing chemical toilets.</li> <li>Pollution Management - Hazardous Substances</li> <li>Any hazardous substances (materials, fuels, other observices of the storm water of the storm of the storm of the storm of the store of the</li></ul> |
|--|---|
|  | <ul> <li>chemicals etc.) that may be required on site must be stored according to the manufacturers' product-storage requirements, which may include a covered, waterproof bunded housing structure.</li> <li>Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) shall be readily available on site for all chemicals and hazardous substances to be used on site. Where possible and available, MSDSs should additionally include information on ecological impacts and measures to minimise negative environmental impacts during accidental releases.</li> <li>Hazardous chemicals and fuels should be stored on bunded, impermeable surfaces with sufficient</li> </ul>  |
|  | storage tanks.<br>If all mitigation measures are effectively implemented  |
| Residual Impacts:  | no residual impacts are expected  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | implemented correctly will completely mitigate the potential cumulative impacts   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low (-)   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:   |   |
| Nature of impact:  |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |   |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |   |
| Indirect impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |   |
| significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |

| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |
|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |
| Residual impacts:  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |
| Nature of impact:  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |
| Indirect impacts:  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |
| Residual impacts:  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |

| Alternative: Preferred   |   |
|--|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:   | Loss of Agricultural land<br>The Agricultural property, currently utilized for grazing<br>will be cut into two by the proposal and result in the loss<br>of approximately 34297 m <sup>2</sup> . The rest of the property will<br>however be able to continue to function as it has been<br>with the addition of a livestock crossing so as not to limit<br>movement between the split property. The owner of<br>the property has indicated as such during a meeting<br>held with the role players. |
| Nature of impact:  | Negative  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Site specific and Permanent   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | <ul> <li>Less pasturelands for livestock to graze</li> <li>Slight hinderance as livestock will have to cross the proposed road</li> <li>Potential theft of livestock as the road makes the property more accessible</li> </ul>  |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 | Marginal loss of resource   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Completely reversible   |
| Indirect impacts:  | Potential for contaminated runoff to negatively affect the surrounding agricultural lands   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Loss of agricultural land   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Cannot be avoided   |

| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Can be managed                                   |
|--|--|
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Cannot be mitigated                              |
|  | The minimum required width for the proposed road |
|  | must be used to limit loss of agricultural land  |
| Residual impacts:  | Less potential grazing areas for livestock       |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Loss of agricultural land                        |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |  |
| Nature of impact:  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause   |  |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation  |  |
| [e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-Hign, Hign, or very-Hign]  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed.   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mingated.  |  |
| Proposed miligation.   |  |
| Residual Impacts.  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| (e.a. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Verv-High)  |  |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |  |
| Nature of impact:  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause   |  |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Residual impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation   |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  |  |

| Alternative: Preferred                 |   |
|--|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE |   |
| Potential impact and risk:             | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species |
| Nature of impact:                      | Negative  |
| Extent and duration of impact:         | Site specific and Long term   |

|   | Medium  |
|---|---|
|   | Increase in water consumption   |
| Consequence of Impact of fisk:  | Decrease in soil stability  |
|   | Spread of alien vegetation seeds  |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Highly Probable   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause  | No loss of resource   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Can be reversed   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Alian spacios spread onto the garicultural lands  |
| Cumulative impacts  | Medium  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation   |   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Medium  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Can be avoided  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Can be and must be mitigate   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Can be mitigated  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | <ul> <li>Disturbed areas should be revegetated with appropriate indigenous vegetation.</li> <li>Control of alien invasive plant species should be undertaken</li> <li>Use should be made of manual removal and the application of appropriate herbicides, where necessary.</li> <li>Manual removal should not be carried out by any machinery larger than a chainsaw.</li> </ul>  |
|   | Even after mitigation and/or alien vegetation removal.  |
| Residual impacts:   | alien seeds could still lay dormant within the seed bank  |
|   | until the ground is disturbed once more   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation  |   |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | LOW   |
|   |   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | •   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE Potential impact and risk:  | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE Potential impact and risk:  | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE Potential impact and risk:  | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE Potential impact and risk: Nature of impact:  | <b>FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA:</b> Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species<br>Negative   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE Potential impact and risk: Nature of impact: Extent and duration of impact:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species<br>Negative<br>Site specific and Long term   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE       Potential impact and risk:       Nature of impact:       Extent and duration of impact:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species<br>Negative<br>Site specific and Long term   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE       Potential impact and risk:       Nature of impact:       Extent and duration of impact:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species<br>Negative<br>Site specific and Long term<br>Medium<br>• Increase in water consumption  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE       Potential impact and risk:       Nature of impact:       Extent and duration of impact:       Consequence of impact or risk:  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species<br>Negative<br>Site specific and Long term<br>Medium<br>• Increase in water consumption<br>• Decrease in soil stability  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE       Potential impact and risk:       Nature of impact:       Extent and duration of impact:       Consequence of impact or risk:  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE       Potential impact and risk:       Nature of impact:       Extent and duration of impact:       Consequence of impact or risk:       Probability of occurrence:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative       Site specific and Long term         Medium       Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability       Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable       No loss of resource  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Medium   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         • Increase in water consumption         • Decrease in soil stability         • Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Medium   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate         Can be mitigated  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed: | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate         Can be mitigated         • Disturbed areas should be revegetated with appropriate indigenous vegetation   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed: | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed road could facilitate the further spread of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate         Can be mitigated         • Disturbed areas should be revegetated with appropriate indigenous vegetation.  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Peroposed mitigation:                            | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate         Can be mitigated         • Disturbed areas should be revegetated with<br>appropriate indigenous vegetation.         • Control of alien invasive plant species should be<br>undertaken |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Peroposed mitigation:                            | FACILITATED INVASION BY ALIEN FLORA: Alien species<br>are fast growing and establish rapidly in disturbed<br>areas. Disturbance associated with the construction of<br>the proposed road could facilitate the further spread<br>of these species         Negative         Site specific and Long term         Medium         Increase in water consumption         Decrease in soil stability         Spread of alien vegetation seeds         Highly Probable         No loss of resource         Can be reversed         Alien species spread onto the agricultural lands         Medium         Can be avoided         Can be and must be mitigate         Can be mitigated         • Disturbed areas should be revegetated with<br>appropriate indigenous vegetation.         • Control of alien invasive plant species should be<br>undertaken |

|  | necessary. Manual removal should not be carried out by any machinery larger than a chainsaw.  |
|--|---|
| Residual impacts:  | Even after mitigation and/or alien vegetation removal,<br>alien seeds could still lay dormant within the seed bank<br>until the ground is disturbed once more |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Low   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Low   |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  |   |
| Potential impact and risk:   |   |
| Nature of impact:  |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |   |
| Indirect impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |   |
| Residual impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |

| Alternative: Preferred   |   |
|--|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE   |   |
| Potential impact and risk:   | NOISE GENERATED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES:<br>Construction related noise cause nuisance to the<br>surrounding environment.     |
| Nature of impact:  | Negative  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Site specific and temporary   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | <ul><li>Negligible</li><li>Disruption to surrounding landowners</li></ul>   |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                    | No loss of resource   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Barely reversible   |
| Indirect impacts:  | None  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Low   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Not avoidable   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Can be managed by only allowing unavoidable noise impacts   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Can barely be mitigated   |
| Proposed mitigation:   | Routine alien clearing on the new road shoulder and<br>within the road reserve will be required to prevent alien<br>infestation |
| Residual impacts:  | None  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Negligible  |

| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Insignificant |
|--|---------------|
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |               |
| Potential impact and risk:   |               |
| Nature of impact:  |               |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |               |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |               |
| Probability of occurrence:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                    |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |               |
| Indirect impacts:  |               |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |               |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |               |
| Proposed mitigation:   |               |
| Residual impacts:  |               |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |               |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |               |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  |               |
| Potential impact and risk:   |               |
| Nature of impact:  |               |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |               |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |               |
| Probability of occurrence:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |               |
| Indirect impacts:  |               |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |               |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |               |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |               |
| Proposed mitigation:   |               |
| Residual impacts:  |               |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |               |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |               |

| Alternative: Preferred  |   |
|---|---|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE                                |   |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Increase in temporary job opportunities                             |
| Nature of impact:   | Positive  |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Local and Temporary   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | High<br>Income for those employed during the construction<br>phase. |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources: | N/A   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:                           | N/A   |
| Indirect impacts:   | Quality of life for labourers is temporarily uplifted               |

|  | Capital influx for households  |
|--|--|
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Low  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-Hiah, Hiah, or Verv-Hiah) | Low  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Not Applicable   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | This positive impact is managed by the municipality by providing developers/contractors with targets for local employment to reach |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Not Applicable   |
| Proposed mitigation:   | Not Applicable   |
| Residual impacts:  | Not Applicable   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Medium   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   |  |
| Nature of impact:  |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |
| (e.a. Low, Medium, Medium-Hiah, Hiah, or Verv-Hiah)  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Residual impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  |  |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  |  |
| Noture of impact and risk:   |  |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |  |
| Probability of occurrence:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause   |  |
| irreplaceable loss of resources:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
| Cumulative impacts prior to mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation  |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Kesiauai impacts:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation   |  |
| (e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  |  |
|  |  |
| Alternative: Preferred   |  |

| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE  |  |
|---|--|
| Potential impact and risk:  | Traffic impacts and road safety  |
| Nature of impact:   | Negative   |
| Extent and duration of impact:  | Site Specific and Temporary  |
|   | Low  |
| Consequence of impact or risk:  | <ul> <li>Slowed traffic movements and disruptions</li> <li>Potentially dangerous area during construction activities due to construction vehicles entering and exiting the site</li> </ul>   |
| Probability of occurrence:  | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:  | No loss of resource  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:   | Barely reversible  |
| Indirect impacts:   | Delays and disruptions for users of the road in the vicinity of the access points  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:  | -  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | Low  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:  | Cannot be avoided  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Can be managed   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:  | Can barely be mitigated  |
| Proposed mitigation:  | The contractor must comply with the relevant Road<br>Traffic and construction regulations  |
| Residual impacts:   | Even with mitigation, delays will be experienced to ensure road safety   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:  |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Low  |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE   |  |
|   |  |
| Potential impact and risk:  | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies   |
| Potential impact and risk:<br>Nature of impact:   | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:   | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:  | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent<br>No unnecessary loss of life or injuries   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:   | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent<br>No unnecessary loss of life or injuries<br>Definite   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:   | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent<br>No unnecessary loss of life or injuries<br>Definite<br>Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent<br>No unnecessary loss of life or injuries<br>Definite<br>Not Applicable<br>Can be fully reversed  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Emergency Readiness<br>Access road for emergencies<br>Positive<br>Site specific and permanent<br>No unnecessary loss of life or injuries<br>Definite<br>Not Applicable<br>Can be fully reversed<br>Peace of mind for residents   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Not Applicable         Not Applicable         Not Applicable         Not Applicable   |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Not Applicable |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Not Applicable  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact post mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE         Potential impact and risk:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE         Potential impact:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:   | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be avoided:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE         Potential impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:  | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not applicable         High  |
| Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:         Degree to which the impact can be reversed:         Indirect impacts:         Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:         Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be managed:         Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:         Proposed mitigation:         Residual impacts:         Cumulative impact post mitigation:         Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)         DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE         Potential impact and risk:         Nature of impact:         Extent and duration of impact:         Consequence of impact or risk:         Probability of occurrence:         Degree to which the impact or risk:              | Emergency Readiness         Access road for emergencies         Positive         Site specific and permanent         No unnecessary loss of life or injuries         Definite         Not Applicable         Can be fully reversed         Peace of mind for residents         Increased emergency preparedness         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         Can be avoided         Not Applicable         High  |

| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |  |
|--|--|
| Indirect impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |  |
| Proposed mitigation:   |  |
| Residual impacts:  |  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |  |

| Alternative: Preferred   |  |
|--|--|
| PLANNING, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE   | ·  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | <b>Capital expenditure due to construction costs</b><br>It is anticipated that construction related costs will be<br>in the region of R3.92 million:   |
| Nature of impact:  | Positive   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Regional and Temporary   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | Capital influx for businesses involved, and knock on<br>effect as the businesses that will supply services (such<br>as toilets) and materials (such as paving and fill<br>materials) for the development will benefit from the<br>capital influx |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Definite   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                    | No loss of resource  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | N/A  |
| Indirect impacts:  | Growth for business involved in the development and<br>general influx of capital into the construction sector<br>support industries (services such a portable toilet<br>companies, etc)  |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | N/A  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | Low-medium   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | N/A  |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | Can be managed by encouraging proponent to support local business  |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | N/A  |
| Proposed mitigation:   | Local business should be supported as far as possible  |
| Residual impacts:  | Certain services or materials may need to be sourced from outside of the George Municipal area   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | Medium   |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE  |  |
| Potential impact and risk:   | Social Impact<br>Save lives of residents and people who work   |

| Nature of impact:  | Positive  |
|--|---|
| Extent and duration of impact:   | Regional and Permanent  |
|  | Lives saved by making use of the emergency access   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   | in times of need.   |
| Probability of occurrence:   | Definite  |
| Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:                                    | Not probable  |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  | Completely reversible   |
| Indirect impacts:  | Peace of mind for residents and people who work in Dana Bay   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   | Not Applicable  |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) | High (+)  |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   | Not desirable to avoid this positive impact   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   | The positive impact will be managed by emergency personnel/municipal officials that will unlock the gates of the access road in times of need |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   | Not desirable to mitigate this positive impact  |
| Proposed mitigation:   | No Mitigation proposed  |
| Residual impacts:  | Not Applicable  |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   | Not Applicable  |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    | High  |
| DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE  | ·   |
| Potential impact and risk:   |   |
| Nature of impact:  |   |
| Extent and duration of impact:   |   |
| Consequence of impact or risk:   |   |
| Probability of occurrence:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact may cause<br>irreplaceable loss of resources:                                 |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be reversed:  |   |
| Indirect impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:   |   |
| Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High) |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be avoided:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be managed:   |   |
| Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:   |   |
| Proposed mitigation:   |   |
| Residual impacts:  |   |
| Cumulative impact post mitigation:   |   |
| Significance rating of impact after mitigation<br>(e.g. Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)    |   |

## SECTION I: FINDINGS, IMPACT MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

| 1.   | 1. Provide a summary of the findings and impact management measures identified by all Specialist and an indication of how these findings and recommendations have influenced the proposed development. |        |  |                          |  |
|--|--|--------|--|--------------------------|--|
| Table 1 below summarises the impact tables above highlighting the impact significance post mitigation.         Table 1: Summary of Anticipated Impacts Post mitigation |  |        |  |                          |  |
|  |  | Impact | Alternative 1<br>(Preferred Alternative) | Alternative 2<br>(No-Go) |  |
|  | Construction Phase   |        |  |                          |  |

|   | Erosion: Unman<br>vegetation clearing<br>earthworks | aged<br>and | Low (-)    |           |
|---|---|-------------|------------|-----------|
|   | Contamination of soil                               |             | Low (-)    |           |
|   | Loss of Agricultural Land                           |             | Low (-)    |           |
|   | Facilitated invasion by flora                       | alien       | Low (-)    | No Impact |
|   | Noise generated construction activities             | by          | Low (-)    |           |
| Γ | Traffic and road safety im                          | pacts       | Low (-)    |           |
|   | Temporary job creation                              |             | Medium (+) |           |
|   | Capital expenditure                                 |             | Medium (+) |           |
|   | C   | Operati     | onal Phase |           |
|   | Contamination soil stormwater runoff                | and         | Low (-)    |           |
|   | Facilitated invasion by flora                       | alien       | Low (-)    |           |
|   | Emergency readiness                                 |             | High (+)   | No Impact |
|   |   |             |            | 1         |

2. List the impact management measures that were identified by all Specialist that will be included in the EMPr Freshwater Specialist recommended mitigation measures

#### Construction footprint

• Use the smallest possible working corridor. Outside the working corridor, all watercourses are to be considered no go areas. Any unnecessary intrusion into these areas is prohibited. Where intrusion is required, the working corridor must be kept to a minimum and identified and demarcated clearly before any construction commences to minimise the impact.

• All freshwater habitats outside of the demarcated construction area must be considered 'No- Go' areas for the duration of the construction phase.

#### Erosion and sedimentation

• The mitigation of impacts must focus on managing the runoff generated by the road and introducing it responsibly into the receiving environment. The stormwater flows must enter the drainage areas in a diffuse flow pattern without pollutants.

• Sedimentation must be minimised with appropriate measures.

• Construction must have contingency plans for high rainfall events during construction.

• Excavated rock and sediments from the construction zone, and including any foreign materials, should not be placed within the delineated riparian areas in order to reduce the possibility of material being washed downstream.

• Stockpiling should be restricted to level areas safe from flood prone areas.

#### Waste

• The solid domestic waste must be removed and disposed of offsite. All post-construction building material and waste must be cleared in accordance with the EMPr.

• Spoil material must be hauled to a designated spoil site. No spoil material must be pushed down slope or discarded on site.

• Portable chemical toilets will be utilised and maintained.

• All solid waste generated during the construction process (including packets, plastic, rubble, cut plant material, waste metals etc.) must be placed in the waste collection area in the construction

camp and must not be allowed to blow around the site, be accessible by animals, or be placed in piles adjacent the skips / bins. Burying of waste, rubble on site is prohibited.

#### Vegetation

• Clearing of riparian vegetation should be prevented or to be kept to a minimum. When practicable, prune or top the vegetation instead of grubbing/uprooting.

• It is the contractor's responsibility to continuously monitor the area for newly established alien species during the contract and establishment period, which if present must be removed. Removal of these species shall be undertaken in a way which prevents any damage to the remaining indigenous species and inhibits the re-infestation of the cleaned areas. Any use of herbicides in removing alien plant species is required to be investigated by the ECO before use, for the necessity, type proposed to be used, effectiveness and impacts of the product on aquatic biota.

• Rubble is often placed aside during construction and never removed. It buries habitat and alters the sediment composition of the area, allowing alien plants to encroach.

#### Pollutants

• The entire area must be protected from direct or indirect spills of pollutants, e.g. sediment, refuse, sewage, cement, oils, fuels, chemicals, wastewater etc. Should any spills of hazardous materials occur on the site or in the storage area, the relevant clean-up specialists must be contacted immediately. In the event of a spillage that cannot be contained, and which poses a serious threat to the local environment, the following Departments must be informed of the incident in accordance with Section 30 of the National Environmental Management Act, Act 107 of 1998, within forty-eight (48) hours: o The Local Authority;

o The Department of Mineral Resources

o Department of Water and Sanitation;

• Mixing and/or decanting of all chemicals and hazardous substances must take place on a tray, shutter boards or on an impermeable surface and must be protected from stormwater.

• Cement/concrete batching is to be located in an area of low environmental sensitivity away from the river channels and pre-approved by the ECO. No batching activities shall occur on unprotected ground. Adequate surface protection will be required. Concrete batching should be restricted to a level and bunded/sealed surface above the riverbanks.

• Contaminated water containing fuel, oil or other hazardous substances must never be released into the environment. It must be disposed of at a registered hazardous landfill site.

• Stormwater exit points must include a best management practice approach to trap any additional suspended solids and pollutants originating from the proposed development.

#### Rehabilitation

• All disturbed areas beyond the construction site that are intentionally or accidentally disturbed during the construction phase must be rehabilitated immediately to the satisfaction of the ECO.

• Erosion features that have developed due to construction within the aquatic habitat due to the project are required to be stabilised. This may also include the need to deactivate any erosion headcuts/rills/gullies that may have developed.

• Consult WET-RehabEvaluate, WET-RehabMethods (Cowden and Kotze, 2009), and the river rehabilitation manual developed by Day et al. 2016, for further information.

#### Monitoring

• The monitoring of the activities is essential to ensure the mitigation measures are implemented. Therefore, compliance with the mitigation recommendations must be monitored by a suitably qualified individual. Monitoring for non-compliance must be done on a daily basis by the contractors. Photographic records of all incidents and non-compliances must be retained. This is to ensure that the impacts on the aquatic habitat are adequately managed and mitigated against and the successful rehabilitation of any disturbed areas within any system occurs.

• A monitoring programme shall be in place, not only to ensure compliance with the EMPr throughout the construction phase, but also to monitor any post-construction environmental issues and impacts. The monitoring should be regular and additional visits must be taken when there is potential risk to freshwater habitat.

• Any contractors found working inside the 'No-Go' areas should be fined as per a fining schedule/system setup for the project.

A Traffic Impact Assessment, compiled SMEC, dated June 2020, the conclusions and recommendations are as follows:

For future growth purposes, it was assumed that the remaining erven in the Dana Bay will be 50% developed within 5 years, and 100% developed within 10 years. It is anticipated that the other planned developments will be 50% developed within 5 years, and 100% developed within 10 years.

It is anticipated that Phase 1 of the land use development would generate 894 and 871 new vehicular trips during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours respectively, and Phase 1 + 2 of the land use development would generate 1 788 and 1 743 new vehicular trips during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours respectively.

In the event that the Dana Bay Alternate Access would serve as a primary or secondary access to the area, the following road improvements would be required:

• Construct a diamond interchange with single lane on- and off-ramps; and

• The bridge over the N2 Freeway to comprise one lanes per direction, as well as a short right-turn lane; and

• Traffic signals serving as junction control at the north terminal and south terminal of the diamond interchange.

3. List the specialist investigations and the impact management measures that will **not** be implemented and provide an explanation as to why these measures will not be implemented.

All proposed impact management measures will be incorporated into the EMPr and implemented during the construction phase

4. Explain how the proposed development will impact the surrounding communities.

The proposal will provide an emergency access road for the residents of Dana Bay.

It will also provide temporary job opportunities for the local community during the construction phase.
 Explain how the risk of climate change may influence the proposed activity or development and how has the potential impacts of climate change been considered and addressed.

The proposal is located between 1.7km and 3km north of the coastline, in addition the site is located on the top of the coastal Plateau and will not be impacted by climate change and rising sea levels.

6. Explain whether there are any conflicting recommendations between the specialists. If so, explain how these have been addressed and resolved.

No conflicting recommendations

7. Explain how the findings and recommendations of the different specialist studies have been integrated to inform the most appropriate mitigation measures that should be implemented to manage the potential impacts of the proposed activity or development.

All Specialist recommendations will be incorporated into the EMPr as mitigation measures.

8. Explain how the mitigation hierarchy has been applied to arrive at the best practicable environmental option.

The mitigation hierarchy refers to the steps taken to mitigate environmental impacts relating to a proposed development. The hierarchy begins with the most beneficial method of mitigation and moves to the least beneficial, as illustrated below.



This hierarchy was considered while determining the best practicable environmental option for the proposed development. Impacts have further been reduced through the inclusion of additional mitigation measures into the EMPr.

No offsets are required for the proposed development.

### SECTION J: GENERAL

#### 1. Environmental Impact Statement

1.1. Provide a summary of the key findings of the EIA.

The key findings of the EIA show that the positive impacts of providing an emergency access road in the western reaches of Dana Bay in the operational phase and the temporary jobs created during the construction phase far outweigh the negative effects of the natural environment.

The vegetation of the site only consists of fynbos in the southern reaches of the site which have not been cleared for pasture lands and no species of conservation concern found on the site.

The presence of the water course located west of the route and the two unused dams influenced the route determination to avoid those areas. The specialist study did however find the presence of a small depression wetland along the proposed route.

The wetland identified is not connected to the river network and the water source is likely to be rainfall dominated and prolonged flooding from restricted infiltration by a sub-surface clay layer. There is only temporary wetness and thus it is dominated by grass species.

Mitigation measures recommended by the specialists will be incorporated into the EMPr and implemented to minimise and manage the impacts to the vegetation and freshwater aspects of the site.

The TIA undertaken for the Alternative B revealed the need for a large diamond intersection with the N2, this was considered a nonviable option due to the high costs associated. As such the Alternative A (Preferred), proposes a gravel road, locked at both ends for use in emergency situations.

| 1.2. | Provide a map that that superimposes the preferred activity and its associated structures and infrastructure on the       |
|------|---|
|      | environmental sensitivities of the preferred site indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers. (Attach |
|      | map to this BAR as Appendix B2)   |
|      |   |

1.3. Provide a summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks that the proposed activity or development and alternatives will have on the environment and community.

| Impact  | Alternative 1<br>(Preferred Alternative) | Alternative 2<br>(No-Go) |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Construc  | ction Phase                              |                          |
| Erosion: Unmanaged<br>vegetation clearing and<br>earthworks | Low (-)                                  |                          |
| Contamination of soil                                       | Low (-)                                  |                          |
| Loss of Agricultural Land                                   | Low (-)                                  |                          |
| Facilitated invasion by alien flora                         | Low (-)                                  | No Impact                |
| Noise generated by construction activities                  | Low (-)                                  |                          |
| Traffic and road safety impacts                             | Low (-)                                  |                          |
| Temporary job creation                                      | Medium (+)                               |                          |

#### Table 2: Summary of Anticipated Impacts Post mitigation

| Capital expenditure                      | Medium (+) |           |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Operati                                  | onal Phase |           |
| Contamination soil and stormwater runoff | Low (-)    |           |
| Facilitated invasion by alien<br>flora   | Low (-)    | No Impact |
| Emergency readiness                      | High (+)   |           |

#### 2. Recommendation of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner ("EAP")

2.1. Provide Impact management outcomes (based on the assessment and where applicable, specialist assessments) for the proposed activity or development for inclusion in the EMPr

Potential impacts were assessed and mitigation measures to minimise the negative impacts were explored in greater depth Section G of this BAR.

Within the Environmental Management Programme (attached as Appendix H) the Environmental Impact Management has been separated into 4 sections, Planning and design phase; Preconstruction Phase, Construction phase and post construction rehabilitation phase.

Table 3: Impact management objectives and impact management outcomes included in the EMPr

| PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES  | IMPACT MANAGEMENT OUTCOMES  |  |  |
| To appoint a suitably qualified and experienced Environmental Control Officer   | The conditions of Environmental Authorisation<br>and the requirements of the EMPr are<br>implemented and monitored during all phases<br>of the development, which will promote sound<br>environmental management on site.   |  |  |
| To compile a detailed design and site layout<br>plan that adheres to the conditions of the<br>Environmental Authorisation                       | Development is compliant with Environmental<br>Authorisation and the EMPr   |  |  |
| To ensure the EMPr adheres to the requirements<br>of the Environmental Authorisation and makes<br>provision for the final detailed site layout. | Good environmental management is promoted on site   |  |  |
| PRE-CONSTRU   | ICTION PHASE  |  |  |
| Identify and demarcate no-go areas, working areas and site facilities   | Future construction activities will be restricted to<br>within the designated areas & environmentally<br>sensitive areas (no-go areas) will be protected<br>from disturbance  |  |  |
| To set up and equip the site camp and associated site facilities in a manner that will promote good environmental management.                   | Site camp facilities do not impact significantly<br>on environment. The equipment required to<br>implement the provisions of the EMPr are<br>provided on site.  |  |  |
| Environmental Control Officer to conduct an<br>inspection prior to the commencement of<br>construction activities on site                       | Good environmental management is<br>promoted and enforced by the ECO during the<br>full pre-construction and construction phases.<br>Site facilities are appropriately located on site.<br>Construction workers receive environmental<br>awareness training before commencing work<br>on site |  |  |

| CONSTRUCTION PHASE  |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| To prevent soil loss on site (erosion)  | Soil erosion is kept to a minimum and the nearby aquatic systems are not impacted significantly as a result of soil erosion.                            |  |  |
| To ensure soil is not contaminated through careless or unmanaged construction activities.   | The environment (including soil, surface water<br>and groundwater) is not contaminated  |  |  |
| To prevent avoidable loss of agricultural land  | The pasturelands outside of the development<br>footprint are not adversely affected by<br>construction activities and construction vehicle<br>movements |  |  |
| To create habitat free of alien vegetation  | The level of alien infestation decreases over time.   |  |  |
| To prevent avoidable noise impacts  | No loud music or non-construction related noise emanates from the site  |  |  |
| To create employment opportunities with<br>potential for skills transfer, for members of the<br>local community   | The local community benefits from the employment opportunities created during the construction phase.   |  |  |
| POST CONSTRUCTION   | REHABILITATION PHASE  |  |  |
| To rehabilitate all areas disturbed by  | The site is neat and tidy and all exposed surfaces are suitably covered/stabilised.   |  |  |
| sensitive manner  | There is no construction-related waste or pollution remaining on site.  |  |  |
| prescribed in the BAR and EMPr must be implemented.<br>The Impact monitoring will be undertaken by an appointed and independent ECO.<br>The impact management outcomes will be monitored by the appointed ECO, in addition to the<br>implementation of mitigation measures during the duration of the development, if all management<br>mitigation measures are implemented successfully the resulting impact management outcomes will<br>mean that the develop was undertaken with no significant or avoidable impacts to the environment. |   |  |  |
| 2.2. Provide a description of any aspects that were conditional to the findings of the assessment either by the EAP or specialist that must be included as conditions of the authorisation.   |   |  |  |
| All Specialists and commenting authority recommendation have been incorporated into the BAR and EMPr  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.3. Provide a reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity or development should or should not be authorised, and if the opinion is that it should be authorised, any conditions that should be included in the authorisation.</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |
| To be included into Final BAR once all comments have been considered  |   |  |  |
| 2.4. Provide a description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge that relate to the assessment and mitigation measures proposed.  |   |  |  |
| It is assumed that all the information provided by the specialists and on which the report  |   |  |  |
| is based is correct and valid at the time receipt thereof.  |   |  |  |
| (Appendix H) will be implemented  | and adhered to by all the relevant stakeholders   |  |  |
| involved.   |   |  |  |
| 2.5. The period for which the EA is required, the date the ac<br>requirements should be finalised.  | tivity will be concluded and when the post construction monitoring  |  |  |
| To be included in the Final BAR   |   |  |  |

#### 3. Water

Since the Western Cape is a water scarce area explain what measures will be implemented to avoid the use of potable water during the development and operational phase and what measures will be implemented to reduce your water demand, save water and measures to reuse or recycle water.

Water will only be used during the construction phase for inter alia the compaction of ground material. The operational phase of the proposal will not require water or other bulk services

#### 4. Waste

Explain what measures have been taken to reduce, reuse or recycle waste.

An integrated waste management system will be a condition of authorisation and incorporated into the EMPr.

#### 5. Energy Efficiency

8.1. Explain what design measures have been taken to ensure that the development proposal will be energy efficient. The proposal will not require energy in the operational phase.

#### SECTION K: DECLARATIONS

#### DECLARATION OF THE APPLICANT

Note: Duplicate this section where there is more than one Applicant.

I\_S. NALDGO, ID number 6210245252084 in my personal capacity or duly authorised thereto hereby declare/affirm that all the information submitted or to be submitted as part of this application form is true and correct, and that:

- I am fully aware of my responsibilities in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) ("NEMA"), the Environmental Impact Assessment ("EIA") Regulations, and any relevant Specific Environmental Management Act and that failure to comply with these requirements may constitute an offence in terms of relevant environmental legislation;
- I am aware of my general duty of care in terms of Section 28 of the NEMA;
- I am aware that it is an offence in terms of Section 24F of the NEMA should I commence with a listed activity prior to obtaining an Environmental Authorisation;
- I appointed the Environmental Assessment Practitioner ("EAP") (if not exempted from this requirement) which:
- o meets all the requirements in terms of Regulation 13 of the NEMA EIA Regulations; or
- meets all the requirements other than the requirement to be independent in terms of Regulation 13 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, but a review EAP has been appointed who does meet all the requirements of Regulation 13 of the NEMA EIA Regulations;
- I will provide the EAP and any specialist, where applicable, and the Competent Authority with access to all information at my disposal that is relevant to the application;
- I will be responsible for the costs incurred in complying with the NEMA EIA Regulations and other environmental legislation including but not limited to
  - costs incurred for the appointment of the EAP or any legitimately person contracted by the EAP;
  - costs in respect of any fee prescribed by the Minister or MEC in respect of the NEMA EIA Regulations;
  - Legitimate costs in respect of specialist(s) reviews; and
  - the provision of security to ensure compliance with applicable management and mitigation measures;
- I am responsible for complying with conditions that may be attached to any decision(s) issued by the Competent Authority, hereby indemnify, the government of the Republic, the Competent Authority and all its officers, agents and employees, from any liability arising out of the content of any report, any procedure or any action for which I or the EAP is responsible in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations and any Specific Environmental Management Act.

**Note:** If acting in a representative capacity, a certified copy of the resolution or power of attorney must be attached.

Signature of the Applicant:

Date: 27/05/2022

Mossel Bay Municipality Name of company (if applicable):

FORM NO. BAR10/2019

#### DECLARATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER ("EAP")

as the appointed EAP hereby declare/affirm the correctness of the information provided or to be provided as part of this application, and that:

- in terms of the general requirement to be independent:
  - other than fair remuneration for work performed/to be performed in terms of this application, have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the activity or application and that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity; or
  - am not independent, but another EAP that meets the general requirements set out in Regulation 13 of NEMA EIA Regulations has been appointed to review my work (Note: a declaration by the review EAP must be submitted);
- in terms of the remainder of the general requirements for an EAP, am fully aware of and meet all of the requirements and that failure to comply with any the requirements may result in disqualification;
- I have disclosed/will disclose, to the Applicant, the specialist (if any), the Competent Authority
  and registered interested and affected parties, all material information that have or may have
  the potential to influence the decision of the Competent Authority or the objectivity of any
  report, plan or document prepared or to be prepared as part of this application;
- I have ensured/will ensure that information containing all relevant facts in respect of the application was/will be distributed or was/will be made available to registered interested and affected parties and that participation will be facilitated in such a manner that all interested and affected parties were/will be provided with a reasonable opportunity to participate and to provide comments;
- I have ensured/will ensure that the comments of all interested and affected parties were/will be considered, recorded, responded to and submitted to the Competent Authority in respect of this application;
- I have ensured/will ensure the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports in respect of the application, where relevant;
- I have kept/will keep a register of all interested and affected parties that participated in the public participation process;
- I am aware that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 48 of the NEMA EIA Regulations;

Signature of the EAP:

Services CC

Name of company (if applicable):